ROSEVILLE JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

Roseville, California

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

June 30, 2015

ROSEVILLE JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS WITH SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION For the Year Ended June 30, 2015 (Continued)

CONTENTS

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	1
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS	4
BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS:	
GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS:	
STATEMENT OF NET POSITION	17
STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES	18
FUND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS:	
BALANCE SHEET - GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS	19
RECONCILIATION OF THE GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS BALANCE SHEET TO THE STATEMENT OF NET POSITION	20
STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES - GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS	21
RECONCILIATION OF THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES - GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS - TO THE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES	22
STATEMENT OF FIDUCIARY NET POSITION - TRUST AND AGENCY FUNDS	23
STATEMENT OF CHANGE IN FIDUCIARY NET POSITION - TRUST FUND	24
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	25
REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:	
GENERAL FUND BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE	57
SCHEDULE OF THE DISTRICT'S PROPORTIONATE SHARE OF THE NET PENSION LIABILITY	58
SCHEDULE OF THE DISTRICT'S CONTRIBUTIONS	60
NOTE TO REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	62

ROSEVILLE JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS WITH SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION For the Year Ended June 30, 2015

CONTENTS

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:	
COMBINING BALANCE SHEET - ALL NON-MAJOR FUNDS	63
COMBINING STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES - ALL NON-MAJOR FUNDS	64
COMBINING STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN ASSETS AND LIABILITIES - AGENCY FUNDS	65
ORGANIZATION	68
SCHEDULE OF AVERAGE DAILY ATTENDANCE	69
SCHEDULE OF INSTRUCTIONAL TIME	70
SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURE OF FEDERAL AWARDS	71
RECONCILIATION OF UNAUDITED ACTUAL FINANCIAL REPORT WITH AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	72
SCHEDULE OF FINANCIAL TRENDS AND ANALYSIS - UNAUDITED	73
SCHEDULE OF CHARTER SCHOOLS	74
NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	75
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH STATE LAWS AND REGULATIONS	77
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH	
GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	80
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM AND REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE	82
FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS:	
SCHEDULE OF AUDIT FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	84



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Board of Education Roseville Joint Union High School District Roseville, California

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Roseville Joint Union High School District, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015 and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise Roseville Joint Union High School District's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Roseville Joint Union High School District, as of June 30, 2015, and the respective changes in financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements, in June 2012 the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) issued GASB Statement No. 68, "Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions." Also, in November 2013 the GASB issued GASB Statement No. 71, "Pension Transition for Contributions Made Subsequent to the Measurement Date". As discussed in notes 7 and 8, GASB Statements No. 68 and No. 71 are effective for the District's fiscal year ending June 30, 2015. These Statements replace the requirements of GASB Statement No. 27, "Accounting for Pensions by State and Local Governmental Employers" and GASB Statement No. 50, "Pension Disclosures." GASB Statements No. 68 and No. 71 establish standards for measuring and recognizing liabilities, deferred outflows of resources, deferred inflows of resources and expenses as well as identifies the methods and assumptions that should be used to project benefit payments, discount projected benefit payments to their actuarial present value and attribute that present value to periods of employee service. Note disclosures and required supplementary information (RSI) requirements about pensions are also addressed. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Other Matters

Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that *Management's Discussion and Analysis* on pages 4 to 16 and the Required Supplementary information, such as the General Fund Budgetary Comparison Schedule, the Schedule of the District's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability, and the Schedule of the District's Contributions on pages 57 to 60 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Supplementary Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise Roseville Joint Union High School District's basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditure of federal awards as required by U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations and the other supplementary information listed in the table of contents are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The schedule of expenditure of federal awards and other supplementary information as listed in the table of contents are the responsibility of management and were derived from and relate directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information, except for the Schedule of Financial Trends and Analysis, has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditure of federal awards and other supplementary information as listed in the table of contents, except for the Schedule of Financial Trends and Analysis, are fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

The Schedule of Financial Trends and Analysis has not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements, and accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on it.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated November 20, 2015 on our consideration of Roseville Joint Union High School District's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering Roseville Joint Union High School District's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Crove Howath UP

Crowe Horwath LLP

Sacramento, California November 20, 2015

This section of Roseville Joint Union High School District's annual financial report presents District management's discussion and analysis of the District's financial performance during the fiscal year that ended on June 30, 2015. Please read it in conjunction with the Independent Auditor's Report presented on pages 1 and 2, and the District's financial statement, which immediately follow this section.

USING THIS ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT

This annual report consists of a series of financial statements. The Statement of Net Position and Statement of Activities, present on pages 14 and 15, provide information about the activities of the District as a whole and present a long-term view of the District's finances. The fund financial statements for governmental activities, presented on pages 16 through 21, provide information about how District services were financed in the short-term, and how much remains for future spending. Fund financial statements also report the District's operations in more detail than the government-wide statements by providing information about the District's most significant funds. The remaining statements provide financial information about activities for which the District acts solely as a trustee or agent for the benefit of those outside the District.

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

- For the Governmental Activities programs, total current year revenues exceeded total current year expenses by \$6,691,349.
- ➤ Capital assets, net, decreased by \$2,923,008 primarily due to increases in accumulated depreciation.
- > The District's 2014/15 P2 Average Daily Attendance decreased by 28 or -0.29% over 2013/14.
- ➤ The District received \$1,204,828 in Mandated Cost reimbursements in 2014-15, due to the State's one-time payment of past Mandate obligations as well as from the district's participation in the Mandate Block Grant.
- ➤ The District maintains sufficient reserves for a district of its size. It meets the state required minimum reserve for economic uncertainty of 3% of general fund expenditures, transfers out, and other uses (total outgo). During fiscal year 2014-15, General Fund expenditures and other financing uses totaled \$92,249,391.
- The ending fund balance (EFB) for the General Fund at June 30th was \$17,142,362. This includes a 3% reserve for state required economic uncertainties, a 2.42% board reserve for economic uncertainties, and a LCFF reserve that, when added to the board reserve, is equivalent to one year's growth in district LCFF revenue. All of these total \$9,941,844 in reserves for economic uncertainties. The EFB also includes \$4,845,426 which is a combination of legally restricted categorical carryovers, unrestricted categorical, site base budget, and other department carryovers, and non-spendable revolving cash. The remaining \$2,354,912 of EFB is unappropriated, but is going to be used to offset potential future year deficits.

THE FINANCIAL REPORT

The full annual financial report consists of the following parts, Management's Discussion and Analysis, the basic financial statements, the required supplementary information, supplementary information and findings and recommendations. The three sections together provide a comprehensive overview of the District. The basic financial statements are comprised of two kinds of statements that present financial information from two different perspectives, district-wide and funds.

- ➤ District-wide financial statements, which comprise the first two statements of Statement of Net Position and Statement of Activities, provide both short-term and long-term information about the District's overall financial position.
- > Individual parts of the District, which are reported as fund financial statements, comprise the remaining statements.
 - Basic services funding is described in the governmental funds statements. These statements include short-term financing and identify the balance remaining for future spending.
 - Financial relationships, for which the District acts as an agent or trustee for the benefit of others to whom the resources belong, are presented in the fiduciary funds statements.

Notes to the financials, which are included in the financial statements, provide more detailed data and explain some of the information in the statements. The required supplementary information provides further explanations and provides additional support for the financial statements. A comparison of the District's budget for the year is included.

Reporting the District as a Whole

The District as a whole is reported in the District-wide statements and uses accounting methods similar to those used by companies in the private sector. All of the District's assets and liabilities are included in the Statement of Net Position. The Statement of Activities reports all of the current year's revenues and expenses regardless of when cash is received or paid.

The District's financial health or position (net position) can be measured by the difference between the District's assets and liabilities.

- > Increases or decreases in the net assets of the District over time are indicators of whether its financial position is improving or deteriorating, respectively.
- Additional non-financial factors such as the condition of school buildings and other facilities, and changes in the property tax base of the District need to be considered in assessing the overall health of the District.

THE FINANCIAL REPORT (CONTINUED)

In the Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities, we divide the District into two categories of activities:

Reporting the District as a Whole

Governmental Activities:

The basic services provided by the District, such as regular and special education, administration, and transportation are included here, and are primarily financed by property taxes and state formula aid. Non-basic services, such as child nutrition are also included here, but are financed by a combination of state and federal contracts and grants, and local revenues.

Business-type Activities:

The District does not provide any services that should be included in this category.

Reporting the District's Most Significant Funds:

The District's fund-based financial statements provide detailed information about the District's most significant funds. Some funds are required to be established by State law and bond covenants. However, the District establishes many other funds as needed to control and manage money for specific purposes.

Governmental Funds

The major governmental funds of Roseville Joint Union High School District are the General Fund, the Capital Facilities Fund, and the Bond Interest and Redemption Fund. Governmental fund reporting focuses on how money flows into and out of the funds and the balances that remain at the end of the year. A modified accrual basis of accounting measures cash and all other financial assets that can readily be converted to cash. The governmental fund statements provide a detailed short-term view of the District's operations and services. Governmental fund information helps to determine the level of financial resources available in the near future to finance the District's programs.

Proprietary Funds

Services for which the District charges a fee are generally reported in proprietary funds on a full accrual basis. These include both Enterprise funds and Internal Service funds. Enterprise funds are considered business-type activities and are also reported under a full accrual method. This is the same basis as business-type activities; therefore no reconciling entries are required. Internal service funds are reported with the Governmental Funds. The District has no funds of this type.

THE FINANCIAL REPORT (CONTINUED)

Fiduciary Funds

The District is the trustee, or fiduciary, for its scholarship and student activity funds. All of the District's fiduciary activities are reported in separate Fiduciary Statements. We exclude these activities from the District's other financial statements because the District cannot use these assets to finance their operations. The District is responsible for ensuring that the assets reported in these funds are used for their intended purposes.

FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF THE SCHOOL DISTRICT AS A WHOLE

GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES

The District's net position decreased from \$145,729,022 at June 30, 2014 to \$71,642,943 at June 30, 2015; a decrease of \$74,086,079, or -50.84%.

The Governmental Accounting Standards Board approved GASB Statement No. 68 (GASB 68), Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions. The primary objective of this Statement, issued in June 2012, is to improve accounting and financial reporting by state and local governments for pensions. It also improves information provided by state and local governmental employers about financial support for pensions that is provided by other entities. Due to GASB 68, the District's beginning balance had to be restated due to changes in the net pension liability as well as deferred outflows of resources. Because of these restatements, the District's net position for fiscal year ending June 30, 2014 has been restated to \$64,951,594 from \$145,729,022.


	Comparative Statement	of Net Position	
		2015	2014
Assets:	Current & Other Assets Capital Assets	\$ 58,176,822 218,714,623	\$ 57,398,488 221,637,631
	Total Assets	276,891,445	279,036,119
Deferred Outfloo	<u>w:</u>		
	Loss on refunded debt Loss on pensions	5,023,148 5,978,822 10,623,970	5,581,275 - 5,581,275
<u>Liabilities:</u>		10,023,970	3,301,273
	Other Liabilities	9,885,939	11,978,805
	Long-Term Debt Outstanding	188,237,533	126,909,567
	Total Liabilities	198,123,472	138,888,372
Deferred Inflow:			
	Gain on pensions	18,127,000	-
Net Position:			
	Net Investment in capital assets	106,620,079	100,486,370
	Restricted	34,805,063	31,049,600
	Unrestricted	(69,782,199)	14,193,052
	Total Net Position	\$ 71,642,943	\$ 145,729,022
	Comparative Change\$	\$ (74,086,079)	n/a
	Comparative Change%	-50.84%	n/a

FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF THE SCHOOL DISTRICT AS A WHOLE (CONTINUED)


GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES (CONTINUED)

	(Governmental A	ctiviti	es	
-)15	2014		
Program Revenues:					
Charge for Services	\$	8,579,998	\$	5,249,376	
Operating Grants and Contributions		13,231,629		10,222,168	
General Revenues:					
Taxes Levied		61,751,833		57,750,538	
Federal and State Aid		30,267,566		25,511,597	
Interest and Investment Earnings		312,947		544,133	
Miscellaneous		1,442,722		237,157	
Interagency Revenues		1,147,107		1,112,233	
Total Revenues		94,922,175		100,627,202	
Program Expenses:					
Instruction		58,844,851		51,687,837	
Instruction-Related Services		10,659,057		10,612,220	
Pupil Services		15,489,421		12,695,729	
General Administration		5,502,847		4,830,704	
Plant Services		11,066,662		9,789,646	
Ancillary Services		1,689,903		1,518,371	
Community Services		118,379		97,168	
Enterprise		388,693		409,389	
Interest on Long-Term Debt		5,227,083		5,485,945	
Other Outgo		1,055,557		1,094,836	
Total Expenses		110,042,453		98,221,845	
Change In Net Position	\$	6,691,349	\$	2,405,357	
Comparative Change\$	\$	4,285,992		n/a	
Comparative Change %		178.19%		n/a	

Government Activities 2015 - Revenue




Government Activities 2015 - Expenses



FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF THE SCHOOL DISTRICT AS A WHOLE (CONTINUED)

Comparative Schedule of Capital Assets						
	Governmental Activities					
	2015	2014				
Land	\$ 24,422,982	\$ 24,422,982				
Buildings and Improvements	257,757,501	255,826,032				
Furniture and Equipment	11,452,504	10,748,073				
Work in Progress	4,947,089	4,867,097				
Subtotals	298,580,076	295,864,184				
Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(79,865,453)	(74,226,553)				
Capital Assets, Net	\$ 218,714,623	\$ 221,637,631				
Comparative Change\$	\$ (2,923,008)	n/a				
Comparative Change%	-1.32%	n/a				

Schedule of Capital Assets



Capital assets, net of depreciation decreased by \$2,923,008 a 1.32% decrease, primarily due to increases in accumulated depreciation.

All of the District's facilities and other assets are extremely well maintained. The capital improvement plan has consistently included modernization, upgrading, and new construction at all of our campuses such that the District's facilities overall are regarded as among the highest quality in the region.

FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF THE SCHOOL DISTRICT AS A WHOLE (CONTINUED)

Comparative Schedule of Long-Term Liabilities						
Governmental Activities						
	2015	2014				
General Obligation Bonds General Obligation Bonds Premium Certificates of Participation Capital Leases Net pension liability Compensated Absences	\$ 108,020,322 9,008,593 1,625,000 88,777 69,172,000 322,841	\$ 114,667,791 9,817,581 2,130,000 117,164 86,113,000 177,031				
Totals	\$ 188,237,533	\$ 213,022,567				

The table reflects the fact that practically all of the District's debt is issued in support of school construction to meet the District's consistent, annual enrollment growth. The district received two bond ratings in February, 2013 issued for the 2013 General Obligation Refunding bonds -- Moody's was an 'Aa2' and Standard & Poor's was a 'AA -'. A similar bond rating in November 2012 from Fitch Ratings -- issued for 1992 series D and 2004 series A, B, and C – was a strong 'AA'. Fitch Ratings affirmed their 'AA' rating in October 2014. Bond rating agency rationale included:

- Strong Financial Management.
- Solid General Fund Balance.
- Manageable Long-Term Liabilities.
- > Stable Financial Operations.
- Improving Economy and Tax Base.
- Sound Reserve Levels.

Bond debt -- combined with developer fee revenue and state construction funds - has been used for:

- Prior site facility construction.
- > Technology improvements to infrastructure systems.
- Various identified modernizations/additions throughout the District.
- > Purchase of school site property for a future sixth high school.

FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF THE SCHOOL DISTRICT AS A WHOLE (CONTINUED)

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of the financial presentation and contain more detailed information as to interest, principal, retirement amounts, and future debt retirement. The district looks at the debt service agreements on an annual basis for potential savings.

Comparative Schedule of Fund Balances							
Fund Balances Increase							
Fund (Fund #)	June 30, 2015	June 30, 2015 June 30, 2014					
General (01)	\$ 17,142,362	\$ 18,091,579	\$ (949,217)				
Adult Education (11)	478,140	520,722	(42,582)				
Cafeteria (13)	896,996	1,096,555	(199,559)				
Deferred Maintenance (14)	1,621,211	1,585,861	35,350				
Pupil Transportation (15)	390,691	591,074	(200,383)				
Capital Facilities (25)	16,762,331	13,540,694	3,221,637				
Special Reserve (40)	273,697	186,768	86,929				
Bond Interest and Redemption (51)	11,929,830	11,056,503	873,327				
Totals	\$ 49,495,258	\$ 46,669,756	\$ 2,825,502				

As can be seen in the scheduled fund balances, the District has a number of very different funds within which District programs operate. The General Fund has historically had a fund balance in excess of the state required reserve of 3%.

ECONOMIC FACTORS BEARING ON THE DISTRICT'S FUTURE

- ➤ The 2015/16 General Fund original budget reflects a \$4,989,200 surplus. This is primarily due to increases in LCFF funding as well as one-time funding provided by the State. The district will develop one-time and on-going spending plans in the 2015-16 fiscal year.
- ➤ The State of California is continuing to show the impacts of a recovering economy and many economic indicators such as unemployment and the housing market are showing steady improvement. With the passage of Proposition 30, the State revenue projections appear to be much more stable than in the years past. The State's Legislative Analyst Office expects that Proposition 98 minimum guaranteed funding for schools will continue to increase in the next few years.

CONTACTING THE DISTRICT'S FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

This financial report is designed to provide our citizens, taxpayers, parents, investors, and creditors with a general overview of the District's finances and to show the District's accountability for the money it receives. If you have questions regarding this report or need additional financial information, contact the District Business Department, Roseville Joint Union High School District, 1750 Cirby Way, Roseville, CA 95661 or (916) 782-5096.



ROSEVILLE JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT STATEMENT OF NET POSITION June 30, 2015

	Governmental Activities
ASSETS	
Cash and investments (Note 2) Receivables Stores inventory Non-depreciable capital assets (Note 4) Depreciable capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation (Note 4)	\$ 53,287,518 4,812,829 76,475 29,370,071 189,344,552
Total assets	276,891,445
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES	
Deferred outflows of resources - pensions (Notes 7 and 8) Deferred loss on refunded debt	5,978,822 5,023,148
Total deferred outflows	11,001,970
LIABILITIES	
Accounts payable Tax and Revenue Anticipation Note payable (Note 2) Unearned revenue Long-term liabilities (Note 5):	5,005,612 4,500,000 380,327
Due within one year Due after one year	13,687,539 <u>174,549,994</u>
Total liabilities	198,123,472
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES	
Deferred inflows of resources - pensions	18,127,000
NET POSITION	
Net investment in capital assets Restricted (Note 6) Unrestricted	106,620,079 34,805,063 (69,782,199)
Total net position	\$ 71,642,943

ROSEVILLE JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES For the Year Ended June 30, 2015

					ram Revenues			Net (Expense) Revenues and Changes in Net Position
	<u>Expenses</u>		Charges for <u>Services</u>	(Operating Grants and ontributions	Capital Grants a <u>Contributi</u>	nd	Governmental Activities
Governmental activities:								
Instruction \$ Instruction-related services:	58,844,851	\$	2,247,753	\$	8,733,018	\$ -		\$ (47,864,080)
Supervision of instruction Instructional library, media and	2,023,279		583,167		250,741	-		(1,189,371)
technology	1,170,080		-		26,518	-		(1,143,562)
School site administration Pupil services:	7,465,698		352,733		347,747	-		(6,765,218)
Home-to-school transportation	2,640,197		88,765		33,674	-		(2,517,758)
Food services All other pupil services	3,478,803 9,370,421		1,745,503 295,885		1,473,394 972,129	-		(259,906) (8,102,407)
General administration:	9,370,421		293,003		372,123	_		(0,102,407)
Data processing	1,243,468		-		-	-		(1,243,468)
All other general administration	4,259,379		583,610		325,396	-		(3,350,373)
Plant services	11,066,662		1,619,613		879,593	-		(8,567,456)
Ancillary services	1,689,903		-		31,432	-		(1,658,471)
Community services Enterprise activities	118,379 388,693		-		-	-		(118,379) (388,693)
Interest on long-term liabilities	5,227,083		-		-	-		(5,227,083)
Other outgo	1,055,557		1,062,969		157,987	_		165,399
_	,							
Total governmental activities	110,042,453	\$	8,579,998	\$	13,231,629	\$ -		(88,230,826)
	General revenues Taxes and subv		ie.					
			neral purposes					48,546,186
	Taxes levied	for deb	ot service					12,919,854
			er specific purp					285,793
	Federal and sta			o spe	cific purposes			30,267,566
	Interest and inv		0					312,947
	Interagency rev Miscellaneous	enues/						1,147,107
	Miscellaneous							1,442,722
		Tota	al general reve	enues			•	94,922,175
		Cha	ange in net pos	sition			,	6,691,349
		Net	position, July	1, 201	14			145,729,022
		Cur	mulative effect	of GA	SB 68 impleme	entation		(80,777,428)
		Net	position, July	1, 201	14, as restated			64,951,594
		Net	position, June	30, 2	2015		;	\$ 71,642,943

ROSEVILLE JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT BALANCE SHEET GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS June 30, 2015

ASSETS	General <u>Fund</u>	Capital Facilities <u>Fund</u>	Bond Interest and Redemption <u>Fund</u>	All Non-Major <u>Funds</u>	Total Governmental <u>Funds</u>
Cash and investments: Cash in County Treasury Cash on hand and in banks Cash in revolving fund Cash with Fiscal Agent, restricted for TRAN Receivables Due from other funds Stores inventory	\$ 16,536,416 135 31,422 4,555,037 3,902,363	\$ 16,518,171 - - - 367,508 - -	\$ 11,921,873 - - - - 7,957 -	\$ 3,509,615 214,749 100 - 535,001 2,707 76,475	\$ 48,486,075 214,884 31,522 4,555,037 4,812,829 2,707 76,475
Total assets	\$ 25,025,373	\$ 16,885,679	\$ 11,929,830	\$ 4,338,647	\$ 58,179,529
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES					
Liabilities: Accounts payable TRAN payable Unearned revenue Due to other funds Total liabilities	\$ 3,184,606 4,500,000 195,698 2,707 7,883,011	\$ 123,348 - - - - 123,348	\$ - - - -	\$ 493,283 - 184,629 - - 677,912	\$ 3,801,237 4,500,000 380,327 2,707 8,684,271
Fund balances: Nonspendable Restricted Assigned Unassigned	31,422 2,452,167 2,362,017 12,296,756	16,762,331 - -	- 11,929,830 - -	76,575 3,584,160 - -	107,997 34,728,488 2,362,017 12,296,756
Total fund balances	17,142,362	16,762,331	11,929,830	3,660,735	49,495,258
Total liabilities and fund balances	\$ 25,025,373	\$ 16,885,679	\$ 11,929,830	\$ 4,338,647	\$ 58,179,529

ROSEVILLE JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT RECONCILIATION OF THE GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS BALANCE SHEET TO THE STATEMENT OF NET POSITION June 30, 2015

Total fund balances - Governmental Funds		\$ 49,495,258
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net position are different because:		
Capital assets used for governmental activities are not financial resources and, therefore, are not reported as assets in governmental funds. The cost of the assets is \$298,580,076 and the accumulated depreciation is \$79,865,453 (Note 4).		218,714,623
Long-term liabilities are not due and payable in the current period and, therefore, are not reported as liabilities in the funds. Long-term liabilities at June 30, 2015 consisted of (Note 5):		
General Obligation Bonds Unamortized premiums Certificates of Participation Capital lease obligations Net pension liability (Note 7 and 8)	\$ (108,020,322) (9,008,593) (1,625,000) (88,777) (69,172,000)	
Compensated absences	(322,841)	(188,237,533)
In governmental funds, for debt refundings, the difference between reacquisition price and net carrying amount of the old debt for debt refunding is recognized in the period they are incurred. In the government-wide statements, the gain is deferred and amortized over the remaining life of the old debt		5,000,440
or the life of the new debt, whichever is shorter.		5,023,148
In government funds, deferred outflows and inflows of resources relating to pensions are not reported because they are applicable to future periods. In the statement of net position, deferred outflows and inflows of resources relating to pensions are reported (Notes 7 and 8).		
Deferred outflows of resources relating to pensions Deferred inflows of resources relating to pensions	\$ 5,978,822 (18,127,000)	(12,148,178)
Homeatimed interest is not recommend with it is due and		(12,170,170)
Unmatured interest is not recognized until it is due and, therefore, is not accrued as a payable in governmental funds.		(1,204,375)
Total net position - governmental activities		\$ 71,642,943

ROSEVILLE JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS For the Year Ended June 30, 2015

Revenues:	General <u>Fund</u>	Capital Facilities <u>Fund</u>	Bond Interest and Redemption Fund	All Non-Major <u>Funds</u>	Total Governmental <u>Funds</u>
Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF): State apportionment Local sources	\$ 27,218,214 46,967,911	\$ - -	\$ -	\$ 1,578,275 -	\$ 28,796,489 46,967,911
Total LCFF	74,186,125			1,578,275	75,764,400
Federal sources Other state sources Other local sources	2,750,361 6,787,654 6,772,862	- - 6,636,683	- 945,073 12,031,774	1,661,483 590,685 1,960,827	4,411,844 8,323,412 27,402,146
Total revenues	90,497,002	6,636,683	12,976,847	5,791,270	115,901,802
Expenditures: Certificated salaries Classified salaries Employee benefits Books and supplies Contract services and operating expenditures Capital outlay Other outgo Debt service:	46,864,863 12,264,981 17,096,275 5,359,570 7,784,292 240,108 967,635	260,880 80,268 178,770 512,510 1,929,458	- - - - - - 5,090	661,007 1,412,734 502,948 1,864,131 1,628,552 757,731	47,525,870 13,938,595 17,679,491 7,402,471 9,925,354 2,927,297 972,725
Principal retirement Interest	533,387 72,680		9,153,043 2,945,387		9,686,430 3,018,067
Total expenditures	91,183,791	2,961,886	12,103,520	6,827,103	113,076,300
(Deficiency) excess of revenues (under) over expenditures	(686,789)	3,674,797	873,327	(1,035,833)	2,825,502
Other financing sources (uses): Operating transfers in Operating transfers out	803,172 (1,065,600)	120,000 (573,160)		1,020,600 (305,012)	1,943,772 (1,943,772)
Total other financing sources (uses)	(262,428)	(453,160)		715,588	
Net change in fund balances	(949,217)	3,221,637	873,327	(320,245)	2,825,502
Fund balances, July 1, 2014	18,091,579	13,540,694	11,056,503	3,980,980	46,669,756
Fund balances, June 30, 2015	\$ 17,142,362	\$ 16,762,331	\$ 11,929,830	\$ 3,660,735	\$ 49,495,258

ROSEVILLE JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT RECONCILIATION OF THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES - GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS -TO THE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES

For the Year Ended June 30, 2015

Net change in fund balances - Total Governmental Funds	\$ 2,825,502
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different because:	
Acquisition of capital assets is an expenditure in the governmental funds, but increases capital assets in the statement of net position (Note 4).	\$ 2,927,293
Depreciation of capital assets is an expense that is not recorded in the governmental funds (Note 4).	(5,849,169)
In government funds, the entire proceeds from disposal of capital assets are reported as revenue. In the statement of activities, only the resulting gain or loss is reported (Note 4).	(1,132)
Accretion of interest is not recorded in government funds. It increases the long-term liabilities in the Statement of Net Position (Note 5).	(2,505,574)
Repayment of principal on long-term liabilities is an expenditure in the governmental funds, but decreases the long-term liabilities in the statement of net position (Note 5).	9,686,430
Amortization of debt issue premium and loss on refunding is recognized in the period incurred in the governmental funds but in the government-wide statements the premium or discount and loss on refunding is amortized as interest over the life of the debt (Note 5).	250,861
Unmatured interest on long-term liabilities is not recognized in the governmental funds until the period it is incurred, but is recognized as an expense in the period it becomes due on the statement of net position.	45,698
Pensions: In government funds, pension costs are recognized when employer contributions are made. In the statement of activities, pension costs are recognized on the accrual basis. This year, the difference between accrual-basis pension costs and actual employer contributions was (Notes 7 and 8).	(542,750)
In the statement of activities, expenses related to compensated absences are measured by the amounts earned during the year. In the governmental funds, expenditures are measured by the amount of financial resources used (Note 5).	 (145 <u>,810</u>)
Change in net position of governmental activities	\$ 6,691,349

ROSEVILLE JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT STATEMENT OF FIDUCIARY NET POSITION TRUST AND AGENCY FUNDS June 30, 2015

ASSETS	<u>Trust</u> Scholarship <u>Fund</u>	Agency F Warrant Pass- Through	Funds Student Body <u>Account</u>
Cash and investments (Note 2): Cash in County Treasury Cash on hand and in banks Receivables	\$ 549,442 	\$ 1,394,492 \$ - 2,903 _	1,225,460
Total assets	<u>549,911</u>	1,397,395	1,225,460
LIABILITIES			
Accounts payable Due to other agencies Due to student groups	3,500 - -	1,397,395 	- - 1,225,460
Total liabilities	3,500	1,397,395	1,225,460
NET POSITION			
Net position - restricted (Note 6)	<u>\$ 546,411</u>	<u>\$ -</u> <u>\$</u>	-

ROSEVILLE JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT STATEMENT OF CHANGE IN FIDUCIARY NET POSITION TRUST FUND

For the Year Ended June 30, 2015

	Sc	holarship <u>Fund</u>
Additions: Other local sources	\$	199,964
Deductions: Contract services and operating expenditures		127,303
Change in net position		72,661
Net position, July 1, 2014		473,750
Net position, June 30, 2015	\$	546,411

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Roseville Joint Union High School District (the "District") accounts for its financial transactions in accordance with the policies and procedures of the California Department of Education's *California School Accounting Manual*. The accounting policies of the District conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. The following is a summary of the more significant policies:

Reporting Entity: The Board of Education is the level of government which has governance responsibilities over all activities related to public school education in the District. The Board is not included in any other governmental "reporting entity" as defined by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board since Board members have decision-making authority, the power to designate management, the responsibility to significantly influence operations and primary accountability for fiscal matters.

The District has reviewed criteria to determine whether other entities with activities that benefit the District should be included within its financial reporting entity. The criteria include, but are not limited to, whether the entity exercises oversight responsibility (which includes financial interdependency, selection of governing authority, designation of management, ability to significantly influence operations, and accountability for fiscal matters), the scope of public service, and a special financing relationship.

The District and the Roseville Joint Union High School District Financing Corporation (the "Corporation") have a financial and operational relationship that meets the reporting entity definition criteria for inclusion of the Corporation as a component unit of the District. Accordingly, the financial activities of the Corporation have been included in the basic financial statements of the District.

The following are those aspects of the relationship between the District and the Corporation which satisfy the inclusion criteria:

Accountability

- 1. The Corporation's Board of Directors were appointed by the District's Board of Education.
- 2. The District is able to impose its will upon the Corporation, based on the following:
- All major financing arrangements, contracts, and other transactions of the Corporation must have the consent of the District.
- The District exercises significant influence over operations of the Corporation, as the District is the sole lessee of all facilities owned by the Corporation. Likewise, the District's lease payments are the sole revenue source of the Corporation.
- 3. The Corporation provides specific financial benefits or imposes specific financial burdens on the District based upon the following:
- Any deficits incurred by the Corporation will be reflected in the lease payments of the District.
- Any surpluses of the Corporation revert to the District at the end of the lease period.
- The District has assumed a "moral obligation", and potentially a legal obligation, for any debt incurred by the Corporation.

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Scope of Public Service

The Corporation is a nonprofit public benefit corporation incorporated under the laws of the State of California and recorded by the Secretary of State in June 1991. The Corporation was formed for the sole purpose of providing financing assistance to the District for construction and acquisition of Woodcreek High School. The District occupies all Corporation facilities under lease-purchase agreements. At the end of the lease term, title to all Corporation property will pass to the District for no additional consideration.

Financial Presentation

For financial presentation purposes, the Corporation's financial activity has been blended with the financial data of the District. The basic financial statements present the Corporation's financial activity within the General Fund. Certificates of Participation issued by the Corporation are reported as long-term liabilities in the government-wide financial statements.

<u>Basis of Presentation - Financial Statements</u>: The basic financial statements include a Management's Discussion and Analysis section providing an analysis of the District's overall financial position and results of operations, financial statements prepared using full accrual accounting for all of the District's activities, including infrastructure, and a focus on the major funds.

<u>Basis of Presentation - Government-Wide Financial Statements</u>: The Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities display information about the reporting government as a whole. Fiduciary funds are not included in the government-wide financial statements. Fiduciary funds are reported only in the Statement of Fiduciary Net Position and the Statement of Change in Fiduciary Net Position at the fund financial statement level.

The Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities are prepared using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues, expenses, gains, losses, assets and liabilities resulting from exchange and exchange-like transactions are recognized when the exchange takes place. Revenues, expenses, gains, losses, assets and liabilities resulting from nonexchange transactions are recognized in accordance with the requirements of Governmental Accounting Standards Board Codification Section (GASB Cod. Sec.) N50.118-.121.

Program Revenues

Program revenues included in the Statement of Activities derive directly from the program itself or from parties outside the District's taxpayers or citizenry, as a whole; program revenues reduce the cost of the function to be financed from the District's general revenues.

Allocation of Indirect Expenses

The District reports all direct expenses by function in the Statement of Activities. Direct expenses are those that are clearly identifiable with a function. Depreciation expense is specifically identified by function and is included in the direct expense of each function. Interest on general long-term liabilities is considered an indirect expense and is reported separately on the Statement of Activities.

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

<u>Basis of Presentation - Fund Accounting</u>: The accounts of the District are organized on the basis of funds, each of which is considered to be a separate accounting entity. The operations of each fund are accounted for with a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprise its assets, liabilities, fund equity, revenues and expenditures or expenses, as appropriate. District resources are allocated to and accounted for in individual funds based upon the purpose for which they are to be spent and the means by which spending activities are controlled.

Major Funds:

General Fund:

The General Fund is the general operating fund of the District and accounts for all revenues and expenditures of the District not encompassed within other funds. All general tax revenues and other receipts that are not allocated by law or contractual agreement to some other fund are accounted for in this fund. General operating expenditures and the capital improvement costs that are not paid through other funds are paid from the General Fund.

Capital Facilities Fund:

The Capital Facilities Fund is a capital projects fund used to account for resources used for the acquisition and construction of capital facilities by the District.

Bond Interest and Redemption Fund:

The Bond Interest and Redemption Fund is a debt service fund used to account for the accumulation of resources for, and the payment of, general long-term debt principal, interest and related costs.

Other Funds

Special Revenue Funds:

The Special Revenue Funds are used to account for the proceeds of specific revenue sources that are legally restricted to expenditures for specific purposes. This classification includes the Adult Education, Cafeteria, Deferred Maintenance and Pupil Transportation Equipment Funds.

Special Reserve for Capital Outlay Projects Fund:

The Special Reserve for Capital Outlay Projects Fund is a capital projects fund, used to account for resources used for the acquisition and construction of capital facilities by the District.

Scholarship Fund:

The Scholarship Fund is a trust fund used to account for assets held by the District as Trustee, to provide financial assistance to students of the District.

Agency Funds:

Agency Funds are used to account for assets of others for which the District acts as an agent. The Warrant Pass-Through Fund represents a payroll clearing account with funds held at the Placer County Office of Education for the accrued payroll liability as of June 30, 2015. All cash activity and assets of the various student bodies of the District are accounted for in the Student Body Account. For Student Body Accounts, individual totals by school and club are maintained within the District's accounting system.

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

<u>Basis of Accounting</u>: Basis of accounting refers to when revenues and expenditures or expenses are recognized in the accounts and reported in the financial statements. Basis of accounting relates to the timing of the measurement made, regardless of the measurement focus applied.

<u>Accrual</u>: Governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements and fiduciary fund financial statements are presented on the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when earned and expenses are recognized when incurred.

<u>Modified Accrual</u>: The governmental funds financial statements are presented on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recorded when susceptible to accrual; i.e., both measurable and available. "Available" means collectible in the current period or within 60 days after year end. Expenditures are generally recognized under the modified accrual basis of accounting when the related liability is incurred. The exception to this general rule is that principal and interest on general obligation long-term liabilities, if any, is recognized when due.

<u>Budgets and Budgetary Accounting</u>: By state law, the Board of Education must adopt a final budget by July 1. A public hearing is conducted to receive comments prior to adoption. The Board of Education complied with these requirements.

<u>Receivables</u>: Receivables are generally made up of amounts due from the State of California and Categorical programs. The District has determined that no allowance for doubtful accounts was needed as of June 30, 2015.

<u>Stores Inventory</u>: Stores inventory in the Cafeteria Fund is valued at latest invoice cost and consists primarily of consumable supplies. No inventory records are maintained throughout the year. A physical inventory is performed on June 30 and the inventory and expense account balances are adjusted to reflect the physical count at year end.

<u>Capital Assets</u>: Capital assets purchased or acquired, with an original cost of \$5,000 or more, are recorded at historical cost or estimated historical cost. Contributed assets are reported at fair market value as of the date received. Additions, improvements and other capital outlay that significantly extend the useful life of an asset are capitalized. Other costs incurred for repairs and maintenance are expensed as incurred. Capital assets are depreciated using the straight-line method over 5 - 50 years depending on asset types.

<u>Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources</u>: In addition to assets, the statement of net position includes a separate section for deferred outflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, deferred outflows of resources, represents a consumption of net position that applies to a future period(s), and as such will not be recognized as an outflow of resources (expense/expenditures) until then. The District has recognized a deferred loss on refunding reported, which is in the Statement of Net Position. A deferred loss on refunding results from the difference in the carrying value of refunded debt and its reacquisition price. This amount is deferred and amortized over the shortened life of the refunded or refunding debt. Amortization for the year ended June 30, 2015 totaled \$558,127. Additionally, the District has recognized a deferred outflow of resources related to the payments made subsequent to the measurement date for the pensions.

In addition to liabilities, the Statement of Net Position will sometimes report a separate section for deferred inflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, deferred inflows of resources, represents an acquisition of net position that applies to a future period(s) and as such, will not be recognized as an inflow of resources (revenue) until that time. The District has recognized a deferred inflow of resources related to the recognition of the pension liability reported which is in the Statement of Net Position.

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

<u>Pensions</u>: For purposes of measuring the net pension liability, deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions, and pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the California State Teachers' Retirement System (CalSTRS) State Teachers' Retirement Plan (STRP) and California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS) Public Employers Retirement Fund B (PERF B) and additions to/deductions from STRP's and PERF B's fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by STRP and PERF B. For this purpose, benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value. The following is a summary of pension amounts in aggregate:

	<u>STRP</u>	PERF B	<u>Total</u>
Deferred outflows of resources	<u>\$ 4,235,196</u>	<u>\$ 1,743,626</u>	\$ 5,978,822
Deferred inflows of resources	\$ 14,268,000	\$ 3,859,000	\$ 18,127,000
Net pension liability	\$ 57,942,000	\$ 11,230,000	\$ 69,172,000
Pension expense	<u>\$ 5,852,449</u>	<u>\$ 1,123,125</u>	<u>\$ 6,975,574</u>

<u>Compensated Absences</u>: Compensated absences totaling \$322,841 are recorded as a liability of the District. The liability is for the earned but unused benefits.

Accumulated Sick Leave: Accumulated sick leave benefits are not recognized as liabilities of the District. The District's policy is to record sick leave as an operating expenditure in the period taken since such benefits do not vest nor is payment probable; however, sick leave benefits are accumulated for each employee and unused sick leave is added to the creditable service period for calculation of retirement benefits for certain STRS and PERS employees when the employee retires.

<u>Unearned Revenues</u>: Revenues from federal, state and local special projects and programs are recognized when qualified expenditures have been incurred. Funds received but not earned are recorded as unearned revenue until earned.

Net Position: Net position is displayed in three components:

- 1. Net Investment in Capital Assets Consists of capital assets including restricted capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation and reduced by the outstanding balances (excluding unspent bond proceeds) of any bonds, mortgages, notes, or other borrowings that are attributable to the acquisition, construction, or improvement of those assets.
- 2. Restricted Net Position Restrictions of the ending net position indicate the portions of net position not appropriable for expenditure or amounts legally segregated for a specific future use. The restriction for unspent categorical program revenues represents the portion of net position restricted to specific program expenditures. The restriction for special revenues represents the portion of net position restricted for special purposes. The restriction for debt service represents the portion of net position available for the retirement of debt. The restriction for capital projects represents the portion of net position restricted for capital projects. It is the District's policy to use restricted net position first when allowable expenditures are incurred.
- 3. Unrestricted Net Position All other net position that do not meet the definitions of "restricted" or "net investment in capital assets".

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

<u>Fund Balance Classifications</u>: Governmental Accounting Standards Board Codification Sections 1300 and 1800, *Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions* (GASB Cod. Sec. 1300 and 1800) implements a five-tier fund balance classification hierarchy that depicts the extent to which a government is bound by spending constraints imposed on the use of its resources. The five classifications, discussed in more detail below, are nonspendable, restricted, committed, assigned and unassigned.

A - Nonspendable Fund Balance:

The nonspendable fund balance classification reflects amounts that are not in spendable form, such as revolving fund cash and stores inventory.

B - Restricted Fund Balance:

The restricted fund balance classification reflects amounts subject to externally imposed and legally enforceable constraints. Such constraints may be imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments, or may be imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. These are the same restrictions used to determine restricted net position as reported in the government-wide and fiduciary trust fund statements.

C - Committed Fund Balance:

The committed fund balance classification reflects amounts subject to internal constraints self-imposed by formal action of the Board of Education. The constraints giving rise to committed fund balance must be imposed no later than the end of the reporting period. The actual amounts may be determined subsequent to that date but prior to the issuance of the financial statements. Formal action by the Board of Education is required to remove any commitment from any fund balance.

D - Assigned Fund Balance:

The assigned fund balance classification reflects amounts that the District's Board of Education has approved to be used for specific purposes, based on the District's intent related to those specific purposes. The Board of Education can designate personnel with the authority to assign fund balances, however, as of June 30, 2015, no such designation has occurred.

E - Unassigned Fund Balance:

In the General Fund only, the unassigned fund balance classification reflects the residual balance that has not been assigned to other funds and that is not restricted, committed, or assigned to specific purposes.

In any fund other than the General Fund, a positive unassigned fund balance is never reported because amounts in any other fund are assumed to have been assigned, at least, to the purpose of that fund. However, deficits in any fund, including the General Fund that cannot be eliminated by reducing or eliminating amounts assigned to other purposes are reported as negative unassigned fund balance.

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

<u>Fund Balance Policy</u>: The District has an expenditure policy relating to fund balances. For purposes of fund balance classifications, expenditures are to be spent from restricted fund balances first, followed in order by committed fund balances (if any), assigned fund balances and lastly unassigned fund balances.

While GASB Cod. Sec. 1300 and 1800 do not require districts to establish a minimum fund balance policy or a stabilization arrangement, GASB Cod. Sec. 1300 and 1800 do require the disclosure of a minimum fund balance policy and stabilization arrangements, if they have been adopted by the Board of Education. At June 30, 2015, the District has not established a minimum fund balance policy nor has it established a stabilization arrangement.

<u>Estimates</u>: The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions. These estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenditures during the reporting period. Accordingly, actual results may differ from those estimates.

<u>Property Taxes</u>: Secured property taxes are attached as an enforceable lien on property as of January 1. Taxes are due in two installments on or before November 15 and March 15. Unsecured property taxes are due in one installment on or before August 31. The Counties of Sacramento and Placer bill and collect taxes for the District. Tax revenues are recognized by the District when received.

<u>Encumbrances</u>: Encumbrance accounting is used in all budgeted funds to reserve portions of applicable appropriations for which commitments have been made. Encumbrances are recorded for purchase orders, contracts, and other commitments when they are written. Encumbrances are liquidated when the commitments are paid. All encumbrances are liquidated at June 30.

<u>Eliminations and Reclassifications</u>: In the process of aggregating data for the Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities, some amounts reported as interfund activity and balances in the funds were eliminated or reclassified. Interfund receivables and payables were eliminated to minimize the "grossing up" effect on assets and liabilities within the governmental activities column.

New Accounting Pronouncements: In June 2012 the GASB issued Statement No. 68, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions. This Statement replaces the requirements of GASB Statement No. 27, Accounting for Pensions by State and Local Governmental Employers and GASB Statement No. 50, Pension Disclosures, as they relate to governments that provide pensions through pension plans administered as trusts or similar arrangements that meet certain criteria. GASB Statement No. 68 requires governments providing defined benefit pensions to recognize their long-term obligation for pension benefits as a liability for the first time, and to more comprehensively and comparably measure the annual costs of pension benefits. The Statement also enhances accountability and transparency through revised and new note disclosures and required supplementary information (RSI). This Statement is effective for the District's fiscal year ending June 30, 2015. Based on the implementation of GASB Statement No. 68, the District's July 1, 2014 net position was restated by \$81,281,428 because of the recognition of the beginning of year net pension liability and deferred outflows of resources.

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

In November 2013 the GASB issued GASB Statement No. 71, Pension Transition for Contributions Made Subsequent to the Measurement Date. The objective of this Statement is to address an issue regarding application of the transition provisions of GASB Statement No. 68. The issue relates to amounts associated with contributions, if any, made by a state or local government employer or nonemployer contributing entity to a defined benefit pension plan after the measurement date of the government's beginning net pension liability. This Statement amends paragraph 137 of GASB Statement No. 68 to require that, at transition, a government recognize a beginning deferred outflow of resources for its pension contributions, if any, made subsequent to the measurement date of the beginning net pension liability, GASB Statement No. 68, as amended, continues to require that beginning balances for other deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions be reported at transition only if it is practical to determine all such amounts. The provisions of this Statement are required to be applied simultaneously with the provisions of GASB Statement No. 68 and are effective for the District's fiscal year ending June 30, 2015. Based on the implementation of GASB Statement No. 71, the District established a Deferred Outflow category to report the payments made subsequent to the measurement date of the pensions as well as deferred inflow category to report the net differences between projected and actual earnings on investments of the pensions in the statement of net position.

In February 2015, the GASB issued its final standard on accounting and financial reporting issues related to fair value measurements, applicable primarily to investments made by state and local governments. GASB Statement No. 72, Fair Value Measurement and Application, defines fair value and describes how fair value should be measured, what assets and liabilities should be measured at fair value, and what information about fair value should be disclosed in the notes to the financial statements. Under GASB Statement No. 72, fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Investments, which generally are measured at fair value, are defined as a security or other asset that governments hold primarily for the purpose of income or profit and the present service capacity of which are based solely on their ability to generate cash or to be sold to generate cash. Before the issuance of GASB Statement No. 72, state and local governments have been required to disclose how they arrived at their measures of fair value if not based on quoted market prices. Under the new guidance, those disclosures have been expanded to categorize fair values according to their relative reliability and to describe positions held in many alternative investments. This statement is effective for the District's fiscal year ending June 30, 2016. Management has not determined what impact this statement will have on its financial statements.

In June 2015, the GASB issued GASB Statement No. 73, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions and Related Assets That Are Not within the Scope of GASB Statement No. 68, and Amendments to Certain Provisions of GASB Statements No. 67 and 68, completes the suite of pension standards. GASB Statement No. 73 establishes requirements for those pensions and pension plans that are not administered through a trust meeting specified criteria (in other words, those not covered by GASB Statements No. 67 and No. 68). The requirements in GASB Statement No. 73 for reporting pensions generally are the same as in GASB Statement No. 68. However, the lack of a pension plan that is administered through a trust that meets specified criteria is reflected in the measurements. The provisions in GASB Statement No. 73 are effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2015, except those provisions that address employers and governmental nonemployer contributing entities for pensions that are not within the scope of GASB Statement No. 68, which are effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2016. Management has not determined what impact this statement will have on its financial statements.

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

In June 2015, the GASB issued GASB Statement No. 74, Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefit Plans Other Than Pension Plans, which replaces GASB Statement No. 43, Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefit Plans Other Than Pension Plans. GASB Statement No. 74 addresses the financial reports of defined benefit OPEB plans that are administered through trusts that meet specified criteria. The GASB Statement follows the framework for financial reporting of defined benefit OPEB plans in GASB Statement No. 45 by requiring a statement of fiduciary net position and a statement of changes in fiduciary net position. The Statement requires more extensive note disclosures and RSI related to the measurement of the OPEB liabilities for which assets have been accumulated, including information about the annual money-weighted rates of return on plan investments. GASB Statement No. 74 also sets forth note disclosure requirements for defined contribution OPEB plans. This statement is effective for the District's fiscal year ending June 30, 2017. Management has not determined what impact this statement will have on its financial statements.

In June 2015, the GASB issued GASB Statement No. 75, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions, which replaces the requirements of GASB Statement No. 45, Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions. GASB Statement No. 75 requires governments to report a liability on the face of the financial statements for the OPEB that they provide. Governments that are responsible only for OPEB liabilities related to their own employees and that provide OPEB through a defined benefit OPEB plan administered through a trust that meets specified criteria will report a net OPEB liability, which is the difference between the total OPEB liability and assets accumulated in the trust and restricted to making benefit payments. Governments that participate in a cost-sharing OPEB plan that is administered through a trust that meets the specified criteria will report a liability equal to their proportionate share of the collective OPEB liability for all entities participating in the cost-sharing plan. Governments that do not provide OPEB through a trust that meets specified criteria will report the total OPEB liability related to their employees. GASB Statement No. 75 carries forward from GASB Statement No. 45 the option to use a specified alternative measurement method in place of an actuarial valuation for purposes of determining the total OPEB liability for benefits provided through OPEB plans in which there are fewer than 100 plan members (active and inactive). This option was retained in order to reduce costs for smaller governments. GASB Statement No. 75 requires governments in all types of OPEB plans to present more extensive note disclosures and required supplementary information (RSI) about their OPEB liabilities. Among the new note disclosures is a description of the effect on the reported OPEB liability of using a discount rate and a healthcare cost trend rate that are one percentage point higher and one percentage point lower than assumed by the government. The new RSI includes a schedule showing the causes of increases and decreases in the OPEB liability and a schedule comparing a government's actual OPEB contributions to its contribution requirements. Some governments are legally responsible to make contributions directly to an OPEB plan or make benefit payments directly as OPEB comes due for employees of other governments. In certain circumstances (called special funding situations) GASB Statement No. 75 requires these governments to recognize in their financial statements a share of the other government's net OPEB liability. This statement is effective for the District's fiscal year ending June 30, 2018. Earlier application is encouraged. Management has determined that this statement will not have an impact on its financial statements because the District has no OPEB.

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

In June 2015, the GASB issued GASB Statement No. 76, The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles for State and Local Governments, which reduces the GAAP hierarchy to two categories of authoritative GAAP from the four categories under GASB Statement No. 55, The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles for State and Local Governments. The first category of authoritative GAAP consists of Statements of Governmental Accounting Standards. The second category comprises GASB Technical Bulletins and Implementation Guides, as well as guidance from the AICPA that is cleared by the GASB. The Statement also addresses the use of authoritative and nonauthoritative literature in the event that the accounting treatment for a transaction or other event is not specified within a source of authoritative GAAP. These changes are intended to improve financial reporting for governments by establishing a framework for the evaluation of accounting guidance that will result in governments applying that guidance with less variation. That will improve the usefulness of financial statement information for making decisions and assessing accountability and enhance the comparability of financial statement information among governments. The Statement also is intended to improve implementation guidance by elevating its authoritative status to a level that requires it be exposed for a period of broad public comment prior to issuance, as is done for other GASB pronouncements. In connection with GASB Statement No. 76, the GASB also recently cleared Implementation Guide No. 2015-1, which incorporates changes resulting from feedback received during the public exposure of all of implementation guidance previously issued. This statement is effective for the District's fiscal year ending June 30, 2015. Management has not determined what impact this statement will have on its financial statements.

NOTE 2 - CASH AND INVESTMENTS

Cash and investments at June 30, 2015 consisted of the following:

	0.	overnmental <u>Activities</u>	Fiduciary <u>Activities</u>		
Pooled Funds: Cash in County Treasury	\$	48,486,075	\$	1,943,934	
Deposits: Cash on hand and in banks Revolving cash fund		214,884 31,522		1,225,460	
Cash with Fiscal Agent, pledged for repayment of TRAN		4,555,037			
	\$	53,287,518	\$	3,169,394	

NOTE 2 - CASH AND INVESTMENTS (Continued)

<u>Pooled Funds</u>: In accordance with Education Code Section 41001, the District maintains substantially all of its cash in the Placer County Treasury. The County pools these funds with those of other districts and agencies in the County and invests the cash. These pooled funds are carried at cost which approximates fair value. Interest earned is deposited annually into participating funds. Any investment losses are proportionately shared by all funds in the pool.

Because the District's deposits are maintained in a recognized pooled investment fund under the care of a third party and the District's share of the pool does not consist of specific, identifiable investment securities owned by the District, no disclosure of the individual deposits and investments or related custodial credit risk classifications is required.

In accordance with applicable state laws, the Placer County Treasurer may invest in derivative securities. However, at June 30, 2015 the Placer County Treasurer has represented that the Treasurer's Pooled Investment Fund contained no derivatives or other investments with similar risk profiles.

<u>Deposits - Custodial Credit Risk</u>: The District limits custodial credit risk by ensuring uninsured balances are collateralized by the respective financial institution. Cash balances held in banks are insured up to \$250,000 by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) and are collateralized by the respective financial institution. At June 30, 2015, the carrying amount of the District's accounts was \$1,471,866, and the bank balance was \$1,537,812, of which \$250,000 was fully covered by the FDIC insurance.

Cash with Fiscal Agent, Pledged for Repayment of TRAN: On September 3, 2014 the District issued \$4,500,000 of Tax and Revenue Anticipation Notes (TRAN), maturing on September 2, 2015, with a coupon interest rate of 1.00% and a yield of 0.13%, to provide for anticipated cash flow deficits from operations. The TRAN are a general obligation of the District, and are payable solely from revenues and cash receipts generated by the District during the fiscal year ending June 30, 2015. The TRAN was repaid on September 2, 2015

Following is a schedule of changes in the TRAN liability for the year ended June 30, 2015:

Balance, July 1, 2014. Current year additions	\$	7,000,000 4,500,000
Current year deletions	_	(7,000,000)
Balance June 30, 2015	\$	4,500,000

Repayment terms require the entire TRAN principal and accrued interest to be set aside. As of June 30, 2015, funds totaling \$4,555,037 held in the General Fund were pledged to repay the principal and accrued interest.

<u>Interest Rate Risk</u>: The District does not have a formal investment policy that limits cash and investment maturities as a means of managing its exposure to fair value losses arising from increasing interest rates. At June 30, 2015, the District had no significant interest rate risk related to cash and investments held.

<u>Credit Risk</u>: The District does not have a formal investment policy that limits its investment choices other than the limitations of state law.

<u>Concentration of Credit Risk</u>: The District does not place limits on the amount it may invest in any one issuer. At June 30, 2015, the District had no concentration of credit risk.

NOTE 3 - INTERFUND TRANSACTIONS

<u>Interfund Activity</u>: Transactions between funds of the District are recorded as interfund transfers. The unpaid balances at year end, as a result of such transactions, are shown as due to and due from other funds.

<u>Interfund Receivables/Payables</u>: Individual fund interfund receivable and payable balances at June 30, 2015 were as follows:

<u>Fund</u>	<u>i</u>	Interfund Receivable		Interfund <u>Payables</u>		
Major Fund: General	\$	-	\$	2,707		
Non-Major Funds: Cafeteria		2,7	07			
Totals	<u>\$</u>	2,7	07 \$	2,707		

<u>Interfund Transfers</u>: Interfund transfers consist of operating transfers from funds receiving revenue to funds through which the resources are to be expended.

Interfund transfers for the 2014-2015 fiscal year were as follows:

Transfer from the General Fund to the Pupil Transportation Equipment Fund for payment of capital leases and other operating costs.	\$	75,000
Transfer from the General Fund to the Capital Facilities Fund for the transfer of redevelopment revenue.		120,000
Transfer from the General Fund to the Special Reserve for Capital Outlay Projects Fund for payment of operating costs.		870,600
Transfer from Adult Education Fund to the General Fund for indirect costs.		47,155
Transfer from the Cafeteria Fund to the General Fund for indirect costs.		182,857
Transfer from the Capital Facilities Fund to the General Fund for payment of Certificates of Participation.		573,160
Transfer from the Special Reserve for Capital Outlay Projects Fund to the Pupil Transportation Equipment Fund for payment of capital leases and other operating costs.	_	75,000
Totals	\$	1,943,772

NOTE 4 - CAPITAL ASSETS

A schedule of changes in capital assets for the year ended June 30, 2015 is shown below:

	Balance July 1, 2014		Additions and Transfers		Deductions and Transfers	Balance June 30, 2015
Non-depreciable:						
Land	\$ 24,422,982	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 24,422,982
Work-in-process	4,867,097		224,131		144,139	4,947,089
Depreciable:						
Improvement of sites	4,396,911		1,467,327		-	5,864,238
Buildings	251,429,121		464,142		-	251,893,263
Equipment	10,748,073	_	914,700	_	210,269	<u>11,452,504</u>
Totals, at cost	295,864,184	_	3,070,300		354,408	298,580,076
Less accumulated depreciation:						
Improvement of sites	(4,083,116)		(34,947)		-	(4,118,063)
Buildings	(60,670,678)		(5,202,438)		-	(65,873,116)
Equipment	(9,472,759)	_	(611,784)	_	(210,269)	(9,874,274)
Total accumulated						
depreciation	(74,226,553)	_	(5,849,169)	_	(210,269)	(79,865,453)
Capital assets, net	<u>\$221,637,631</u>	\$	(2,778,869)	\$	144,139	\$218,714,623

Depreciation expense was charged to governmental activities as follows:

Instruction Supervision of instruction Instructional library, media and technology School site administration Home-to-school transportation Food services All other pupil services Ancillary services Community services Enterprise activities All other general administration Centralized data processing Plant services	\$	3,253,003 113,296 65,557 418,742 197,866 203,348 527,455 95,666 6,885 22,589 240,226 73,569 630,967
Total depreciation expense	<u>\$</u>	5,849,169

NOTE 5 - LONG-TERM LIABILITIES

General Obligation Bonds: In July 1992, the District issued General Obligation Bonds in the amount of \$13,793,792 for land acquisition and the construction of new high schools. The 1992 General Obligation Bonds, Series A, are authorized pursuant to the special election of the registered voters held in June 1991, and are payable from the ad valorem taxes to be levied annually upon all property subject to taxation by the District. The Current Interest and Capital Appreciation Bonds interest and yield vary, ranging from 3.5% to 6.6% and are scheduled to mature through 2018.

Year Ending June 30,	<u>Principal</u>	<u>Interest</u>		<u>Total</u>
2016 2017 2018	\$ 2,588,658 2,618,413 2,652,418	\$ 439,054 282,086 99,746	\$	3,027,712 2,900,499 2,752,164
	\$ 7,859,489	\$ 820,886	<u>\$</u>	8,680,375

In June 1995, the District issued 1992 General Obligation Bonds, Series B, in the amount of \$19,030,284. Bond proceeds were used for land acquisition and construction of new high schools. The Capital Appreciation Serial Bonds interest and yield vary, ranging from 4.4% to 6.0% and are scheduled to mature through 2020.

Year Ending June 30,		<u>Principal</u>		Interest	<u>Total</u>		
2016 2017 2018 2019 2020	\$	4,577,887 2,288,046 2,262,003 2,239,681 2,217,358	\$	756,799 582,008 460,530 324,119 117,082	\$	5,334,686 2,870,054 2,722,533 2,563,800 2,334,440	
	<u>\$</u>	13,584,975	\$	2,240,538	\$	15,825,513	

In July 1998, the District issued 1992 General Obligation Bonds, Series 1998C, in the amount of \$4,995,895. Bond proceeds were used for land acquisition and the construction of new high schools. The Current Interest and Capital Appreciation Bonds interest and yield vary, ranging from 4.5% to 5.3% and are scheduled to mature through 2024.

Year Ending <u>June 30,</u>	<u>Principal</u>	Interest	<u>Total</u>
2016 2017 2018	\$ 414,328 402,758 391,400	\$ 166,660 152,982 137,959	\$ 580,988 555,740 529,359
2019 2020 2021-2024	 379,520 372,235 1,384,535	121,506 103,408 197,708	 501,026 475,643 1,582,243
	\$ 3,344,776	\$ 880,223	\$ 4,224,999

NOTE 5 - LONG-TERM LIABILITIES (Continued)

In July 1999, the District issued 1992 General Obligation Bonds, Series 1999D, in the amount of \$3,000,841. Bond proceeds were used for land acquisition and construction of new high schools. The Current Interest and Capital Appreciation Bonds interest and yield vary, ranging from 4.6% to 5.65% and are scheduled to mature through 2025.

Year Ending <u>June 30,</u>		<u>Principal</u>		<u>Interest</u>	<u>Total</u>		
2016 2017 2018 2019 2020	\$	252,977 243,930 235,178 226,661 222,283	\$	117,464 109,325 100,376 90,638 79,922	\$	370,441 353,255 335,554 317,299 302,205	
2021-2025	<u>\$</u>	1,007,785 2,188,814	\$	198,461 696,186	\$	1,206,246 2,885,000	

In July 2006, the District issued 2004 General Obligation Bonds, Series B, in the amount of \$25,000,000. Bond proceeds were used for acquisition, modernization and improvement of District facilities. The Bonds carry interest rates ranging from 4.5% to 6.0% and are scheduled to mature through 2016.

Year Ending June 30,		<u>Principal</u>	<u>Ir</u>	<u>nterest</u>	<u>Total</u>
2016	<u>\$</u>	330,000	\$	7,425	\$ 337,425

In April 2007, the District issued 2004 General Obligation Bonds, Series C, in the amount of \$27,997,959. Bond proceeds were used for construction, renovation and repair of District facilities. The Current Interest Bonds carry interest rates ranging from 4.0% to 5.0% and are scheduled to mature through 2017. The Capital Appreciation Bonds carry interest rates ranging from 4.59% to 4.66% and are scheduled to mature through 2032.

Year Ending June 30,	<u>Principal</u>	Interest		<u>Total</u>
2016	\$ 940,000	\$ 774,665	\$	1,714,665
2017	1,065,000	765,036		1,830,036
2018	-	775,764		775,764
2019	-	812,103		812,103
2020	-	850,125		850,125
2021-2025	1,634,172	4,828,586		6,462,758
2026-2030	8,233,341	3,762,274		11,995,615
2031-2032	5,249,004	 550,617	_	5,799,621
	<u>\$ 17,121,517</u>	\$ 13,119,170	<u>\$</u>	8,039,398

NOTE 5 - LONG-TERM LIABILITIES (Continued)

In May 2011, the District issued 2007 General Obligation Bonds, Series 2011A, in the amount of \$4,885,624. Bond proceeds were used for the purchase of land for a future high school. The Current Interest Bonds carry interest rates ranging from 2.0% to 5.85% and are scheduled to mature through 2045. The Capital Appreciation Bonds carry interest rates ranging from 7.86% to 12.00% and are scheduled to mature from 2016 through 2042.

Year Ending <u>June 30,</u>		<u>Principal</u>	<u>Interest</u>	<u>Total</u>
2016	\$	14,151	\$ 313,904	\$ 328,055
2017		20,990	321,540	342,530
2018		29,890	332,013	361,903
2019		33,252	330,028	363,280
2020		38,473	338,139	376,612
2021-2025		691,742	1,737,301	2,429,043
2026-2030		1,308,459	1,821,215	3,129,674
2031-2035		1,133,794	1,697,826	2,831,620
2036-2040		135,000	1,049,557	1,184,557
2041-2042	_	1,690,000	 97,875	 1,787,875
	<u>\$</u>	5,095,751	\$ 8,039,398	\$ 13,135,149

On August 2011, the District issued at par \$8,020,000 of 2011 General Obligation Refunding Bonds for the purpose of refunding \$8,745,000 of then outstanding 1992 General Obligation Bonds, Series E. The 2011 General Obligation Refunding Bonds bear interest rates ranging from 2.0% to 5.0% and will be repaid in level principal amounts, with the final payment due August 1, 2026. The refunded 1992 General Obligation, Series E bore interest rates ranging from 4.0% to 5.2% and were also due in level principal amounts, with the final payment due August 1, 2026.

The following is a schedule of the future payments for the 2012 General Refunding Bonds:

Year Ending June 30,		<u>Principal</u>	Interest	<u>Total</u>
2016	\$	365,000	\$ 322,825	\$ 687,825
2017		390,000	309,550	699,550
2018		420,000	293,350	713,350
2019		455,000	275,850	730,850
2020		495,000	254,375	749,375
2021-2025		3,180,000	838,000	4,018,000
2026-2027		1,660,000	 84,500	 1,744,500
	<u>\$</u>	6,965,000	\$ 2,378,450	\$ 9,343,450

NOTE 5 - LONG-TERM LIABILITIES (Continued)

On April 2013, the District issued at par \$54,185,000 of 2013 General Obligation Refunding Bonds for the purpose of refunding to advance refund a portion of the District's outstanding Election of 2004 General Obligation Bonds, Series A; 2004 Series B; and 2004 Series C. The total par value of the refunded bonds was \$57,460,000. The 2013 General Obligation Refunding Bonds bear interest rates ranging from 2.0% to 5.0% and will be repaid in level principal amounts, with the final payment due August 1, 2024.

The following is a schedule of the future payments for the 2013 General Refunding Bonds:

Year Ending June 30,		<u>Principal</u>	<u>Interest</u>	<u>Total</u>
2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021-2025	\$	2,480,000 3,055,000 4,605,000 5,120,000 5,675,000 30,595,000	\$ 2,228,850 2,133,550 1,983,350 1,788,850 1,572,950 3,257,625	\$ 4,708,850 5,188,550 6,588,350 6,908,850 7,247,950 33,852,625
	<u>\$</u>	51,530,000	\$ 12,965,175	\$ 64,495,175

<u>Certificates of Participation</u>: On December 2011, the District issued at par \$3,085,000 of Certificates of Participation ("COPs") for the purpose of refunding \$3,475,000 of then outstanding 2003 Certificates of Participation. The refunding COPs bear an interest rate of 3.2% and will be repaid in level principal amounts, with the final payment due February 1, 2018. The refunded 2003 COPs carried a variable interest rate ranging up to 12% and were also due in level principal amounts, with the final payment due February 1, 2018.

The District's future obligations on the Refunding COPs are as follows:

Year Ending <u>June 30,</u>		<u>Principal</u>	<u>!</u>	<u>Interest</u>	<u>Total</u>
2016 2017 2018	\$	525,000 540,000 560,000	\$	52,000 35,200 17,920	\$ 577,000 575,200 577,920
	<u>\$</u>	1,625,000	\$	105,120	\$ 1,730,120

NOTE 5 - LONG-TERM LIABILITIES (Continued)

<u>Capitalized Lease Obligations</u>: During June 2013, the District entered into a capital lease agreement with De Lage Landen Public Finance LLC which will paid over a five year period. The District's future obligation on the Capital Lease are as follows:

Year Ending June 30,	<u> </u>	<u>Principal</u>	<u>lı</u>	<u>nterest</u>	<u>Total</u>
2016 2017 2018	\$	14,706 15,340 16,001	\$	1,664 1,030 <u>369</u>	\$ 16,370 16,370 16,370
	<u>\$</u>	46,047	\$	3,063	\$ 49,110

During March 2013, the District entered into a capital lease agreement with De Lage Landen Public Finance LLC which will paid over a five year period. The District's future obligation on the Capital Lease are as follows:

Year Ending June 30,	<u>F</u>	Principal	<u>lr</u>	<u>nterest</u>	<u>Total</u>
2016 2017 2018	\$	14,938 15,616 12,176	\$	1,599 921 <u>227</u>	\$ 16,537 16,537 12,403
	<u>\$</u>	42,730	\$	2,747	\$ 45,477

At June 30, 2014, the District had capital assets acquired from capital leases with an original cost of \$147,670 and accumulated depreciation totaling \$57,600.

<u>Schedule of Changes in Long-Term Liabilities</u>: A schedule of changes in long-term liabilities for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015 is shown below:

	Balance July 1 <u>2014</u>	Additions	<u>Deductions</u>	Balance June 30, <u>2015</u>	Amounts Due Within <u>One Year</u>
General Obligation Bonds (including accreted-interest) Unamortized premium Certificates of Participation Capitalized lease obligations Net pension liability	\$ 114,667,791 9,817,581 2,130,000 117,164	\$ 2,505,574 - - -	\$ 9,153,043 808,988 505,000 28,387	\$ 108,020,322 9,008,593 1,625,000 88,777	\$ 11,963,001 847,053 525,000 29,644
(Notes 7 and 8) Compensated absences	85,609,000 177,031	- 145,810	16,437,000	69,172,000 322,841	322,841
	\$ 212,518,567	\$ 2,651,384	\$ 26,932,418	\$ 188,237,533	<u>\$ 13,687,539</u>

NOTE 5 - LONG-TERM LIABILITIES (Continued)

Payments on the General Obligation Bonds are made from the Bond Interest and Redemption Fund. Payments on the Certificates of Participation are made from the General Fund. Payments on the capitalized lease obligations are made from the General Fund. Payments on compensated absences are made from the Fund for which the related employee worked.

NOTE 6 - NET POSITION / FUND BALANCES

The restricted net position consisted of the following at June 30, 2015:

Governmental Activities

R	est	ric	ted	l fo	r.

Unspent categorical program revenues	\$	2,452,167
Adult education program		478,140
Food service operations		896,996
Deferred maintenance projects		1,621,211
Pupil transportation		390,691
Capital projects		17,036,028
Debt service	_	11,929,830

34,805,063

Fiduciary Activities

Restricted for scholarships <u>\$ 546,411</u>

NOTE 6 - NET POSITION / FUND BALANCES (Continued)

Fund balances, by category, at June 30, 2015 consisted of the following:

	General <u>Fund</u>	Capital Facilities <u>Fund</u>	Bond Interest and Redemption <u>Fund</u>	All Non-Major <u>Funds</u>	<u>Total</u>
Nonspendable: Revolving cash fund Stores inventory	\$ 31,422 	\$ -	\$ - -	\$ 100 76,475	\$ 31,522 76,475
Subtotal nonspendable	31,422			76,575	107,997
Restricted: Unspent categorical revenues Special revenue Capital projects Debt service Subtotal restricted	2,452,167 - - - - 2,452,167	- 16,762,331 - 16,762,331	- - - 11,929,830 	3,310,463 273,697 - 3,584,160	2,452,167 3,310,463 17,036,028 11,929,830 34,728,488
Subtotal restricted	2,432,107	10,702,331	11,323,030	3,304,100	<u> </u>
Assigned: Categorical programs High school start up	862,017 1,500,000	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	862,017 1,500,000
Subtotal assigned	2,362,017				2,362,017
Unassigned: Designated for economic uncertainty Undesignated	9,941,844 2,354,912	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	9,941,844 2,354,912
Subtotal unassigned	12,296,756				12,296,756
Total fund balances	\$ 17,142,362	\$ 16,762,331	<u>\$ 11,929,830</u>	\$ 3,660,735	\$ 49,495,258

NOTE 7 - NET PENSION LIABILITY - STATE TEACHERS' RETIREMENT PLAN

General Information about the State Teachers' Retirement Plan

<u>Plan Description</u>: Teaching-certified employees of the District are provided with pensions through the State Teachers' Retirement Plan (STRP) – a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan administered by the California State Teachers' Retirement System (CalSTRS). The Teachers' Retirement Law (California Education Code Section 22000 et seq.), as enacted and amended by the California Legislature, established this plan and CalSTRS as the administrator. The benefit terms of the plans may be amended through legislation. CalSTRS issues a publicly available financial report that can be obtained at http://www.calstrs.com/comprehensive-annual-financial-report.

<u>Benefits Provided</u>: The STRP Defined Benefit Program has two benefit formulas:

- CalSTRS 2% at 60: Members first hired on or before December 31, 2012, to perform service that could be creditable to CalSTRS.
- CalSTRS 2% at 62: Members first hired on or after January 1, 2013, to perform service that could be creditable to CalSTRS.

The Defined Benefit Program provides retirement benefits based on members' final compensation, age and years of service credit. In addition, the retirement program provides benefits to members upon disability and to survivors/beneficiaries upon the death of eligible members. There are several differences between the two benefit formulas which are noted below.

CaISTRS 2% at 60

CalSTRS 2% at 60 members are eligible for normal retirement at age 60, with a minimum of five years of credited service. The normal retirement benefit is equal to 2.0 percent of final compensation for each year of credited service. Early retirement options are available at age 55 with five years of credited service or as early as age 50 with 30 years of credited service. The age factor for retirements after age 60 increases with each quarter year of age to 2.4 percent at age 63 or older. Members who have 30 years or more of credited service receive an additional increase of up to 0.2 percent to the age factor, known as the career factor. The maximum benefit with the career factor is 2.4 percent of final compensation.

CalSTRS calculates retirement benefits based on a one-year final compensation for members who retired on or after January 1, 2001, with 25 or more years of credited service, or for classroom teachers with less than 25 years of credited service if the employer elected to pay the additional benefit cost prior to January 1, 2014. One-year final compensation means a member's highest average annual compensation earnable for 12 consecutive months calculated by taking the creditable compensation that a member could earn in a school year while employed on a fulltime basis, for a position in which the person worked. For members with less than 25 years of credited service, final compensation is the highest average annual compensation earnable for any three consecutive years of credited service.

NOTE 7 - NET PENSION LIABILITY - STATE TEACHERS' RETIREMENT PLAN (Continued)

CaISTRS 2% at 62

CalSTRS 2% at 62 members are eligible for normal retirement at age 62, with a minimum of five years of credited service. The normal retirement benefit is equal to 2.0 percent of final compensation for each year of credited service. An early retirement option is available at age 55. The age factor for retirement after age 62 increases with each quarter year of age to 2.4 percent at age 65 or older.

All CalSTRS 2% at 62 members have their final compensation based on their highest average annual compensation earnable for three consecutive years of credited service.

<u>Contributions</u>: Required member, employer and state contribution rates are set by the California Legislature and Governor and detailed in Teachers' Retirement Law. Contribution rates are expressed as a level percentage of payroll using the entry age normal actuarial cost method.

A summary of statutory contribution rates and other sources of contributions to the Defined Benefit Program are as follows:

Members - Under CalSTRS 2% at 60, the member contribution rate was 8.15 percent of applicable member earnings for fiscal year 2013-14. Under CalSTRS 2% at 62, members contribute 50 percent of the normal cost of their retirement plan, which resulted in a contribution rate of 8.15 percent of applicable member earnings for fiscal year 2014-15.

In general, member contributions cannot increase unless members are provided with some type of "comparable advantage" in exchange for such increases. Under previous law, the Legislature could reduce or eliminate the 2 percent annual increase to retirement benefits. As a result of AB 1469, effective July 1, 2014, the Legislature cannot reduce the 2 percent annual benefit adjustment for members who retire on or after January 1, 2014, and in exchange for this "comparable advantage," the member contribution rates have been increased by an amount that covers a portion of the cost of the 2 percent annual benefit adjustment.

Effective July 1, 2014, with the passage of AB 1469, member contributions for those under the 2% at 60 benefit structure increase from 8.0 percent to a total of 10.25 percent of applicable member earnings, phased in over the next three years. For members under the 2% at 62 benefit structure, contributions will increase from 8.0 percent to 9.205 percent of applicable member earnings, again phased in over three years, if there is no change to normal cost.

The CalSTRS member contribution rate increases effective for fiscal year 2014-15 and beyond are summarized in the table below:

Employers – 8.88 percent of applicable member earnings.

In accordance with AB 1469, employer contributions will increase from 8.25 percent to a total of 19.1 percent of applicable member earnings phased in over seven years starting in 2014. The new legislation also gives the board limited authority to adjust employer contribution rates from July 1, 2021 through June 2046 in order to eliminate the remaining unfunded actuarial obligation related to service credited to members prior to July 1, 2014. The board cannot adjust the rate by more than 1 percent in a fiscal year, and the total contribution rate in addition to the 8.25 percent cannot exceed 12 percent.

NOTE 7 - NET PENSION LIABILITY - STATE TEACHERS' RETIREMENT PLAN (Continued)

The CalSTRS employer contribution rate increases effective for fiscal year 2014-15 through fiscal year 2045-46 are summarized in the table below:

Effective Date	Prior Rate	<u>Increase</u>	<u>Total</u>
	0.0=0/	0.000/	0.000/
July 01, 2014	8.25%	0.63%	8.88%
July 01, 2015	8.25%	2.48%	10.73%
July 01, 2016	8.25%	4.33%	12.58%
July 01, 2017	8.25%	6.18%	14.43%
July 01, 2018	8.25%	8.03%	16.28%
July 01, 2019	8.25%	9.88%	18.13%
July 01, 2020	8.25%	10.85%	19.10%
July 01, 2046	8.25%	Increase from prior rate ce	ases in 2046-47

The District contributed \$4,235,196 to the plan for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015.

State - 5.954 percent of the members' creditable earnings from the fiscal year ending in the prior calendar year. This portion of the state appropriation totaled \$527 million in fiscal year 2013-14.

Additionally, beginning October 1, 1998, a statutory contribution rate of 0.524 percent, adjustable annually in 0.25 percent increments up to a maximum of 1.505 percent, of the creditable earnings from the fiscal year ending in the prior calendar year per Education Code Section 22955(b). This contribution is reduced to zero if there is no unfunded actuarial obligation and no normal cost deficit for benefits in place as of July 1, 1990. Based on the actuarial valuation, as of June 30, 2012 there was no normal cost deficit, but there was an unfunded obligation for benefits in place as of July 1, 1990. As a result, the state was required to make quarterly payments starting October 1, 2013, at an additional contribution rate of 1.024 percent. As of June 30, 2014, the state contributed \$200.7 million of the \$267.6 million total amount for fiscal year 2013-14. As a result of AB 1469, the fourth quarterly payment of \$66.9 million was included in an increased first quarter payment of \$94 million for the 2014-15 fiscal year, which was transferred on July 1, 2014.

In accordance with AB 1469, the portion of the state appropriation under Education Code Section 22955(b) that is in addition to the 2.017 percent has been replaced by section 22955.1(b) in order to fully fund the benefits in effect as of 1990 by 2046. The additional state contribution will increase from 1.437 percent in 2014-15 to 4.311 percent in 2016-17. The increased contributions end as of fiscal year 2046-2047.

NOTE 7 - NET PENSION LIABILITY - STATE TEACHERS' RETIREMENT PLAN (Continued)

The CalSTRS state contribution rates effective for fiscal year 2014-15 and beyond are summarized in the table below:

		AB 1469		
		Increase For		Total State
	Base	1990 Benefit	SBMA	Appropriation
Effective Date	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Structure</u>	<u>Funding</u>	to DB Program
July 01, 2014	2.017%	1.437%	2.50%	5.954%
July 01, 2015	2.017%	2.874%	2.50%	7.391%
July 01, 2016	2.017%	4.311%	2.50%	8.828%
July 01, 2017 to				
June 30, 2046	2.017%	4.311%*	2.50%	8.828%*
July 01, 2046				
and thereafter	2.017%	*	2.50%	4.571%*

^{*} The new legislation also gives the board limited authority to adjust state contribution rates from July 1, 2017, through June 2046 in order to eliminate the remaining unfunded actuarial obligation associated with the 1990 benefit structure. The board cannot increase the rate by more than 0.50 percent in a fiscal year, and if there is no unfunded actuarial obligation, the contribution rate imposed to pay for the 1990 benefit structure shall be reduced to 0 percent. Rates in effect prior to July 1, 2014, are reinstated if necessary to address any remaining 1990 unfunded actuarial obligation from July 1, 2046, and thereafter.

Pension Liabilities, Pension Expense, and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

At June 30, 2015, the District reported a liability for its proportionate share of the net pension liability that reflected a reduction for State pension support provided to the District. The amount recognized by the District as its proportionate share of the net pension liability, the related State support, and the total portion of the net pension liability that was associated with the District were as follows:

District's proportionate share of the net pension liability State's proportionate share of the net pension liability	\$ 57,942,000
associated with the District	 34,988,000
Total	\$ 92,930,000

The net pension liability was measured as of June 30, 2014, and the total pension liability used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as June 30, 2013. The District's proportion of the net pension liability was based on the District's share of contributions to the pension plan relative to the projected contributions of all participating school Districts and the State. At June 30, 2014, the District's proportion was 0.099 percent, which was an increase of zero from its proportion measured as of June 30, 2013.

NOTE 7 - NET PENSION LIABILITY - STATE TEACHERS' RETIREMENT PLAN (Continued)

For the year ended June 30, 2015, the District recognized pension expense of \$5,852,449 and revenue of \$2,322,902 for support provided by the State. At June 30, 2015, the District reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

	 erred Outflows Resources		eferred Inflows of Resources
Difference between expected and actual experience	\$ -	\$	-
Changes of assumptions	-		-
Net differences between projected and actual earnings on investments	-		14,268,000
Changes in proportion and differences between District contributions and proportionate share of contributions	-		-
Contributions made subsequent to measurement date	4,235,196	_	-
Total	\$ 4,235,196	\$	14,268,000

\$4,235,196 reported as deferred outflows of resources related to pensions resulting from contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the year ended June 30, 2016. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

000
000
000
000

Differences between expected and actual experience and changes in assumptions are amortized over a closed period equal to the average remaining service life of plan members, which is 7 years as of June 30, 2014. The STRP net pension liability as of June 30, 2013 and the STRP net pension liability as of June 30, 2014 are based on the June 30, 2013 actuarial valuation for the first year of implementation. As a result there are no differences between expected and actual experience or changes in assumptions subject to amortization. Deferred outflows and inflows related to differences between projected and actual earrings on plan investments are netted and amortized over a closed 5-year period.

NOTE 7 - NET PENSION LIABILITY - STATE TEACHERS' RETIREMENT PLAN (Continued)

Actuarial Methods and Assumptions: The total pension liability for the STRP was determined by applying update procedures to a financial reporting actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2013, and rolling forward the total pension liability to June 30, 2014. The financial reporting actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2013, used the following actuarial methods and assumptions, applied to all prior periods included in the measurement:

Valuation Date

Experience Study

Actuarial Cost Method

Investment Rate of Return

Consumer Price Inflation

Wage Growth

Post-retirement Benefit Increases

June 30, 2013

July 1, 2006, through June 30, 2010

Entry age normal

7.60%

3.00%

3.00%

2.00% simple for DB

Not applicable for DBS/CBB

CalSTRS uses custom mortality tables to best fit the patterns of mortality among its members. These custom tables are based on RP2000 series tables adjusted to fit CalSTRS experience. RP2000 series tables are an industry standard set of mortality rates published by the Society of Actuaries. See CalSTRS July 1, 2006 – June 30, 2010 experience analysis for more information.

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a building-block method in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. The best estimate ranges were developed using capital market assumptions from CalSTRS general investment consultant as an input to the process. Based on the model from CalSTRS consulting actuary's investment practice, a best estimate range was determined by assuming the portfolio is re-balanced annually and that annual returns are log normally distributed and independent from year to year to develop expected percentiles for the long-term distribution of annualized returns. The assumed asset allocation by PCA is based on board policy for target asset allocation in effect on February 2, 2012, the date the current experience study was approved by the board. Best estimates of 10-year geometric real rates of return and the assumed asset allocation for each major asset class used as input to develop the actuarial investment rate of return are summarized in the following table:

Assumed Asset Allocation	Long-Term* Expected Real Rate of Return
47%	4.50%
12	6.20
15	4.35
5	3.20
20	0.20
1	0.00
	Allocation 47% 12 15 5

^{* 10-}year geometric average

NOTE 7 - NET PENSION LIABILITY - STATE TEACHERS' RETIREMENT PLAN (Continued)

<u>Discount Rate</u>: The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 7.60 percent. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that contributions from plan members and employers will be made at statutory contribution rates in accordance with the rate increase per Assembly Bill 1469. Projected inflows from investment earnings were calculated using the long-term assumed investment rate of return (7.60 percent) and assuming that contributions, benefit payments, and administrative expense occur midyear. Based on those assumptions, the STRP's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments to current plan members. Therefore, the long-term assumed investment rate of return was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability.

Sensitivity of the District's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate: The following presents the District's proportionate share of the net pension liability calculated using the discount rate of 7.60 percent, as well as what the District's proportionate share of the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage-point lower (6.60 percent) or 1-percentage-point higher (8.60 percent) than the current rate:

	1%	Current	1%
	Decrease (6.60%)	Discount Rate (7.60%)	Increase (8.60%)
District's proportionate share of the net pension liability	\$ 90,316,000	\$ 57,942,000	\$ 30,948,000

<u>Pension Plan Fiduciary Net Position</u>: Detailed information about the pension plan's fiduciary net position is available in the separately issued CalSTRS financial report.

NOTE 8 - NET PENSION LIABILITY - PUBLIC EMPLOYER'S RETIREMENT FUND B

General Information about the Public Employer's Retirement Fund B

<u>Plan Description</u>: The schools cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan Public Employer's Retirement Fund B (PERF B) is administered by the California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS). Plan membership consists of non-teaching and non-certified employees of public schools (K-12), community college districts, offices of education, charter and private schools (elective) in the State of California.

The Plan was established to provide retirement, death and disability benefits to non-teaching and noncertified employees in schools. The benefit provisions for Plan employees are established by statute. CalPERS issues a publicly available financial report that can be obtained at https://www.calpers.ca.gov/docs/forms-publications/cafr-2014.pdf.

Benefits Provided: The benefits for the defined benefit plans are based on members' years of service, age, final compensation, and benefit formula. Benefits are provided for disability, death, and survivors of eligible members or beneficiaries. Members become fully vested in their retirement benefits earned to date after five years (10 years for State Second Tier members) of credited service.

NOTE 8 - NET PENSION LIABILITY - PUBLIC EMPLOYER'S RETIREMENT FUND B (Continued)

<u>Contributions</u>: The benefits for the defined benefit pension plans are funded by contributions from members and employers, and earnings from investments. Member and employer contributions are a percentage of applicable member compensation. Member contribution rates are defined by law and depend on the respective employer's benefit formulas. Employer contribution rates are determined by periodic actuarial valuations or by state statute. Actuarial valuations are based on the benefit formulas and employee groups of each employer. Employer contributions, including lump sum contributions made when agencies first join the PERF, are credited with a market value adjustment in determining contribution rates.

The required contribution rates of most active plan members are based on a percentage of salary in excess of a base compensation amount ranging from zero dollars to \$863 monthly.

Required contribution rates for active plan members and employers as a percentage of payroll for the year ended June 30, 2014 were as follows:

Members - The member contribution rate was 6.0 or 7.0 percent of applicable member earnings for fiscal year 2013-14.

Employers - The employer contribution rate was 11.771 percent of applicable member earnings.

The District contributed \$1,365,626 to the plan for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015.

Pension Liabilities, Pension Expense, and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

At June 30, 2015, the District reported a liability of \$11,230,000 for its proportionate share of the net pension liability. The net pension liability was measured as of June 30, 2014, and the total pension liability used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2013. The District's proportion of the net pension liability was based on the District's share of contributions to the pension plan relative to the projected contributions of all participating school Districts. At June 30, 2014, the District's proportion was 0.099 percent, which was an increase of 0.003 percent from its proportion measured as of June 30, 2013.

For the year ended June 30, 2015, the District recognized pension expense of \$1,123,125. At June 30, 2015, the District reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

	 red Outflows Resources	 erred Inflows Resources
Difference between expected and actual experience	\$ -	\$ -
Changes of assumptions	-	-
Net differences between projected and actual earnings on investments	-	3,859,000
Changes in proportion and differences between District contributions and proportionate share of contributions	378,000	-
Contributions made subsequent to measurement date	1,365,626	 -
Total	\$ 1,743,626	\$ 3,859,000

NOTE 8 - NET PENSION LIABILITY - PUBLIC EMPLOYER'S RETIREMENT FUND B (Continued)

\$1,365,626 reported as deferred outflows of resources related to pensions resulting from contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the year ended June 30, 2016. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

Years Ended June 30,	
2016	\$ 838,750
2017	\$ 838,750
2018	\$ 838,750
2019	\$ 964,750

Actuarial Methods and Assumptions: The total pension liability for the Plan was determined by applying update procedures to a financial reporting actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2013, and rolling forward the total pension liability to June 30, 2014. The financial reporting actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2013, used the following actuarial methods and assumptions, applied to all prior periods included in the measurement:

Valuation Date	June 30, 2013
Experience Study	July 1, 2006, through June 30, 2010
Actuarial Cost Method	Entry age normal
Investment Rate of Return	7.50%
Consumer Price Inflation	2.75%
Wage Growth	Varies by entry age and service
Post-retirement Benefit Increases	Contract COLA up to 2.00% until Purchasing
	Power Protection Allowance Floor on
	Purchasing Power applies 2.75% thereafter

The mortality table used was developed based on CalPERS specific data. The table includes 20 years of mortality improvements using Society of Actuaries Scale BB. For more details on this table, please refer to the 2014 experience study report.

All other actuarial assumptions used in the June 30, 2013 valuation were based on the results of an actuarial experience study for the period from 1997 to 2011, including updates to salary increase, mortality and retirement rates. Further details of the Experience Study can be found at CalPERS' website.

NOTE 8 - NET PENSION LIABILITY - PUBLIC EMPLOYER'S RETIREMENT FUND B (Continued)

The table below reflects long-term expected real rate of return by asset class. The rate of return was calculated using the capital market assumptions applied to determine the discount rate and asset allocation.

Asset Class	Long-Term* Assumed Asset <u>Allocation</u>	Expected Real Rate of Return
Global Equity	47%	5.25%
Global Fixed Income	19	0.99
Inflation Sensitive	6	0.45
Private Equity	12	6.83
Real Estate	11	4.50
Infrastructure & Forestland	3	4.50
Liquidity	2	(0.55)

^{* 10-}year geometric average

<u>Discount Rate</u>: The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 7.50 percent. A projection of the expected benefit payments and contributions was performed to determine if assets would run out. The test revealed the assets would not run out. Therefore the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability for the Plan. The results of the crossover testing for the Plan are presented in a detailed report that can be obtained at CalPERS' website.

According to Paragraph 30 of Statement 68, the long-term discount rate should be determined without reduction for pension plan administrative expense. The 7.50 percent investment return assumption used in the actuarial valuation is net of administrative expenses. Administrative expenses are assumed to be 15 basis points. An investment return excluding administrative expenses would have been 7.65 percent. Using this lower discount rate has resulted in a slightly higher total pension liability and net pension liability. This difference was deemed immaterial to the Plan. However, employers may determine the impact for their own financial reporting purposes based on their proportionate share.

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a buildingblock method in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class.

In determining the long-term expected rate of return, CalPERS took into account both short-term and long-term market return expectations as well as the expected cash flows of the Plan. Such cash flows were developed assuming that both members and employers will make their required contributions on time and as scheduled in all future years. Using historical returns of all the Plan's asset classes, expected compound (geometric) returns were calculated over the short-term (first 10 years) and the long-term (11-60 years) using a building-block approach. Using the expected nominal returns for both short-term and long-term, the present value of benefits was calculated. The expected rate of return was set by calculating the single equivalent expected return that arrived at the same present value of benefits for cash flows as the one calculated using both short-term and long-term returns. The expected rate of return was then set equivalent to the single equivalent rate calculated above and rounded down to the nearest one quarter of one percent.

NOTE 8 - NET PENSION LIABILITY - PUBLIC EMPLOYER'S RETIREMENT FUND B (Continued)

Sensitivity of the District's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate: The following presents the District's proportionate share of the net pension liability calculated using the discount rate of 7.50 percent, as well as what the District's proportionate share of the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage-point lower (6.50 percent) or 1-percentage-point higher (8.50 percent) than the current rate:

	1%	Current	1%
	Decrease	Discount	Increase
	(6.50%)	Rate (7.50%)	(8.50%)
District's proportionate share of the net pension liability	<u>\$ 19,644,000</u>	<u>\$ 11,230,000</u>	\$ 4,094,000

<u>Pension Plan Fiduciary Net Position</u>: Detailed information about the pension plan's fiduciary net position is available in the separately issued CalPERS financial report.

NOTE 9 - JOINT POWERS AGREEMENTS

Schools Insurance Group: The District is a member of a Joint Powers Authority, Schools Insurance Group (SIG), for the operation of a common risk management and insurance program. The Authority is governed by a Governing Board consisting of representatives of member districts. The Governing Board controls the operations of SIG, including selections of management and approval of operating budgets. The JPA provide first dollar coverage and insure risk up to statutory limits. Settled claims resulting from these risks have not exceeded commercial insurance coverage in any of the past three fiscal year. There have been no significant reductions in insurance coverage from coverage in the prior year.

Condensed financial information for SIG for the year ended June 30, 2014 (the latest information available):

Total assets	\$ 86,315,315
Total liabilities	\$ 31,253,582
Total net position	\$ 55,061,733
Total revenues	\$ 82,124,047
Total expenditures	\$ 80,784,567
Change in net position	\$ 1,339,480

School Project for Utility Rate Reduction (SPURR): The District is also a member of a School Project for Utility Rate Reduction (SPURR) Joint Powers Authority. The Authority is governed by a Governing Board consisting of representatives from member districts. The Board controls the operations of SPURR including selections of management and approval of operating budgets. Settled claims resulting from these risks have not exceeded commercial coverage in any of the past three years. There have been no significant reductions in insurance coverage from coverage in the prior year.

NOTE 9 - JOINT POWERS AGREEMENTS (Continued)

Condensed financial information for SPURR for the year ended June 30, 2014 (the most current information available) is as follows:

Total assets	\$ 12,618,781
Total liabilities	\$ 7,684,404
Total net position	\$ 4,934,377
Total revenues	\$ 33,778,951
Total expenses	\$ 34,880,230
Change in net position	\$ (1,101,279)

The relationship between the District and the Joint Powers Authorities is such that the Joint Powers Authorities are not component units of the District for financial reporting purposes.

NOTE 10 - CONTINGENCIES

The District is subject to legal proceedings and claims which arise in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, the amount of ultimate liability with respect to these actions will not materially affect the financial position or results of operations of the District.

Also, the District has received federal and state funds for specific purposes that are subject to review or audit by the grantor agencies. Although such audits could generate expenditure disallowances under terms of the grants, it is believed that any required reimbursements will not be material.



ROSEVILLE JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT GENERAL FUND BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE For the Year Ended June 30, 2015

	Budget						Variance	
	Original Final			<u>Actual</u>		Favorable (Unfavorable)		
Revenues: Local Control Funding Formula:								
State apportionment	\$ 30,82	7,104	\$	27,237,637	\$	27,218,214	\$	(19,423)
Local sources	43,34	6,711	_	46,530,333	_	46,967,911		437,578
Total revenue limit	74,17	<u>3,815</u>	_	73,767,970	_	74,186,125		418,155
Federal sources		7,448		3,306,471		2,750,361		(556,110)
Other state sources		0,150		6,944,776		6,787,654		(157,122)
Other local sources	5,78	8,28 <u>1</u>	_	6,449,191	_	6,772,862		323,671
Total revenues	85,99	9,694		90,468,408	_	90,497,002		28,594
Expenditures:								
Certificated salaries	44,90	6,083		47,090,218		46,864,863		225,355
Classified salaries	11,92	8,983		12,274,299		12,264,981		9,318
Employee benefits	15,26	6,651		17,302,437		17,096,275		206,162
Books and supplies Contract services and operating	6,58	5,098		6,154,481		5,359,570		794,911
expenditures	10,01	7 437		8,678,313		7,784,292		894,021
Capital outlay		7,500		247,333		240,108		7,225
Other outgo		3,373		1,070,489		967,635		102,854
Debt service:	.,	-,		1,010,100		,		,
Principal retirement	50	5.000		513,705		533,387		(19,682)
Interest	6	8,160		69,530		72,680		(3,150)
Total expenditures	90,34	8,285		93,400,805	_	91,183,791		2,217,014
(Deficiency) excess of revenues								
(under) over expenditures	(4,34	8,591)	_	(2,932,397)		(686,789)		2,245,608
Other financing sources (uses):								
Operating transfers in		4,451		812,487		803,172		(9,315)
Operating transfers out	(94	<u>5,600</u>)	_	(1,065,600)	_	(1,065,600)		
Total other financing sources (uses)	(18	<u>1,149</u>)		(253,113)	_	(262,428)		(9,31 <u>5</u>)
Net change in fund balance	(4,52	9,740)		(3,185,510)		(949,217)		2,236,293
Fund balance, July 1, 2014	18,09	<u>1,579</u>		18,091,579	_	18,091,579		
Fund balance, June 30, 2015	\$ 13,56	1,839	\$	14,906,069	\$	17,142,362	\$	2,236,293

ROSEVILLE JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT SCHEDULE OF THE DISTRICT'S PROPORTIONATE SHARE OF THE NET PENSION LIABILITY For the Year Ended June 30, 2015

State Teachers' Retirement Plan Last 10 Fiscal Years

		<u>2015</u>
District's proportion of the net pension liability		0.099%
District's proportionate share of the net pension liability	\$	57,942,000
State's proportionate share of the net pension liability associated with the District	_	34,988,000
Total net pension liability	\$	92,930,000
District's covered-employee payroll		44,163,000
District's proportionate share of the net pension liability as a percentage of its covered-employee payroll		131.20%
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability		76.52%

The amounts presented for each fiscal year were determined as of the year-end that occurred one year prior.

ROSEVILLE JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT SCHEDULE OF THE DISTRICT'S PROPORTIONATE SHARE OF THE NET PENSION LIABILITY For the Year Ended June 30, 2015

Public Employer's Retirement Fund B Last 10 Fiscal Years

	<u>2015</u>
District's proportion of the net pension liability	0.099%
District's proportionate share of the net pension liability	\$ 11,230,000
District's covered-employee payroll	\$ 10,384,000
District's proportionate share of the net pension liability as a percentage of its covered-employee payroll	108.15%
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability	83.38%

The amounts presented for each fiscal year were determined as of the year-end that occurred one year prior.

ROSEVILLE JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT SCHEDULE OF THE DISTRICT'S CONTRIBUTIONS For the Year Ended June 30, 2015

State Teachers' Retirement Plan Last 10 Fiscal Years

	<u>2015</u>
Contractually required contribution	\$ 4,235,196
Contributions in relation to the contractually required contribution	\$ 4,235,196
Contribution deficiency (excess)	\$ -
District's covered-employee payroll	\$ 47,694,000
Contributions as a percentage of covered-employee payroll	8.88%

ROSEVILLE JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT SCHEDULE OF THE DISTRICT'S CONTRIBUTIONS For the Year Ended June 30, 2015

Public Employer's Retirement Fund B Last 10 Fiscal Years

	<u>2015</u>
Contractually required contribution	\$ 1,365,626
Contributions in relation to the contractually required contribution	\$ 1,365,626
Contribution deficiency (excess)	\$ -
District's covered-employee payroll	\$ 11,602,000
Contributions as a percentage of covered-employee payroll	11.77%

ROSEVILLE JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT NOTE TO REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION June 30, 2015

NOTE 1 - PURPOSE OF SCHEDULES

A - Budgetary Comparison Schedule

The District employs budget control by object codes and by individual appropriation accounts. Budgets are prepared on the modified accrual basis of accounting in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. The budgets are revised during the year by the Board of Education to provide for revised priorities. Expenditures cannot legally exceed appropriations by major object code. The originally adopted and final revised budgets for the General Fund are presented as Required Supplementary Information. The basis of budgeting is the same as GAAP.

B - Schedule of the District's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability

The Schedule of the District's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability is presented to illustrate the elements of the District's Net Pension Liability. There is a requirement to show information for 10 years. However, until a full 10-year trend is compiled, governments should present information for those years for which information is available.

C – Schedule of the District's Contributions

The Schedule of District Contributions is presented to illustrate the District's required contributions relating to the pensions. There is a requirement to show information for 10 years. However, until a full 10-year trend is compiled, governments should present information for those years for which information is available.

D – Changes of Benefit Terms

There are no changes in benefit terms reported in the Required Supplementary Information.

E - Changes of Assumptions

There are no changes in assumptions reported in the Required Supplementary Information.



ROSEVILLE JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT COMBINING BALANCE SHEET ALL NON-MAJOR FUNDS June 30, 2015

ASSETS	Adult Education <u>Fund</u>	Cafeteria <u>Fund</u>	Deferred Main- tenance <u>Fund</u>	Pupil Transpor- tation Equipment <u>Fund</u>	Special Reserve for Capital Outlay Projects <u>Fund</u>	<u>Total</u>
Cash in County Treasury	\$ 555,092	\$ 602,854	\$ 1,855,651	\$ 49,309	\$ 446,709	\$ 3,509,615
Cash on hand and in banks	- 100	214,749	-	-	-	214,749 100
Cash in revolving fund Receivables	188,105	3,891	1,309	341,382	314	535,001
Due from other funds Stores inventory	<u> </u>	2,707 <u>76,475</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	2,707 76,475
Total assets	\$ 743,297	\$ 900,676	<u>\$ 1,856,960</u>	<u>\$ 390,691</u>	<u>\$ 447,023</u>	\$ 4,338,647
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES						
Liabilities:						
Accounts payable Unearned revenue	\$ 80,528 184,629	\$ 3,680 -	\$ 235,749 -	\$ - -	\$ 173,326 -	\$ 493,283 184,629
Total liabilities	265,157	3,680	235,749	<u> </u>	173,326	677,912
Fund balances:						
Nonspendable Restricted	100 <u>478,040</u>	76,475 <u>820,521</u>	- <u>1,621,211</u>	- <u>390,691</u>	- 273,697	76,575 <u>3,584,160</u>
Total fund balances	478,140	896,996	1,621,211	390,691	273,697	3,660,735
Total liabilities and fund balances	<u>\$ 743,297</u>	\$ 900,676	<u>\$ 1,856,960</u>	<u>\$ 390,691</u>	<u>\$ 447,023</u>	<u>\$ 4,338,647</u>

ROSEVILLE JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT COMBINING STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES ALL NON-MAJOR FUNDS

For the Year Ended June 30, 2015

Revenues: Local Control Funding Formula: State apportionment Federal sources Other state sources	Adult Education Fund \$ 793,275 337,646 127,319	Cafeteria Fund \$ - 1,323,837 104,018	Deferred Main- tenance Fund \$ 785,000	Pupil Transpor- tation Equipment Fund \$ - 359,348	Special Reserve for Capital Outlay Projects Fund	Total \$ 1,578,275 1,661,483 590,685
Other local sources	62,330	1,851,161	20,521	22,281	\$ 4,534	1,960,827
Total revenues	<u>1,320,570</u>	3,279,016	<u>805,521</u>	<u>381,629</u>	<u>4,534</u>	<u>5,791,270</u>
Expenditures: Certificated salaries Classified salaries Employee benefits Books and supplies Contract services and operating expenditures Capital outlay	661,007 160,719 217,249 185,261 91,761	1,252,015 285,699 1,676,312 74,766 6,926	- - - - 760,727 <u>9,444</u>	- - 759 - <u>731,253</u>	- - 1,799 701,298 10,108	661,007 1,412,734 502,948 1,864,131 1,628,552 757,731
Total expenditures	<u>1,315,997</u>	3,295,718	770,171	732,012	713,205	6,827,103
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures	4,573	(16,702)	35,350	(350,383)	<u>(708,671</u>)	(1,035,833)
Other financing sources (uses): Operating transfers in Operating transfers out	- (47,155)	- <u>(182,857</u>)	<u>-</u>	150,000	870,600 (75,000)	1,020,600 (305,012)
Total other financing sources (uses)	<u>(47,155</u>)	(182,857)		150,000	795,600	715,588
Net change in fund balances	(42,582)	(199,559)	35,350	(200,383)	86,929	(320,245)
Fund balances, July 1, 2014	520,722	1,096,555	1,585,861	591,074	<u> 186,768</u>	3,980,980
Fund balances, June 30, 2015	\$ 478,140	<u>\$ 896,996</u>	<u>\$ 1,621,211</u>	<u>\$ 390,691</u>	\$ 273,697	\$ 3,660,735

ROSEVILLE JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT COMBINING STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN ASSETS AND LIABILITIES AGENCY FUNDS

For the Year Ended June 30, 2015

WARRANT PASS-THROUGH	Balance July 1, <u>2014</u>	<u>Additions</u>	<u>Deductions</u>	Balance June 30, <u>2015</u>
Assets: Cash in County Treasury Cash on hand and in banks Receivables	\$ 1,277,130 2,644 ———————————————————————————————————	\$ 78,208,436 - 2,903	\$ 78,091,074 2,644 ———————————————————————————————————	\$ 1,394,492 - 2,903
Total assets	\$ 1,279,774	\$ 78,211,339	\$ 78,093,718	<u>\$ 1,397,395</u>
Liabilities: Due to other agencies	<u>\$ 1,279,774</u>	\$ 78,211,339	\$ 78,093,718	\$ 1,397,395
STUDENT BODY ACCOUNTS				
Woodcreek High				
Assets: Cash in County Treasury Cash on hand and in banks	\$ - 228,579	\$ - 690,307	\$ - <u>728,768</u>	\$ - 190,118
Total assets	\$ 228,579	\$ 690,307	\$ 728,768	\$ 190,118
Liabilities: Due to other agencies Due to student groups	\$ - 228,579	\$ - 690,307	\$ - 728,768	\$ - 190,118
Total liabilities	\$ 228,579	\$ 690,307	\$ 728,768	\$ 190,118
Antelope High				
Assets: Cash in County Treasury Cash on hand and in banks	\$ - <u>152,434</u>	\$ - <u>475,814</u>	\$ - <u>484,589</u>	\$ - 143,659
Total assets	\$ 152,434	\$ 475,814	\$ 484,589	\$ 143,659
Liabilities: Due to other agencies Due to student groups	\$ - 152,434	\$ - <u>475,814</u>	\$ - <u>484,589</u>	\$ - 143,659
Total liabilities	\$ 152,434	\$ 475,814	\$ 484,589	\$ 143,659

ROSEVILLE JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT COMBINING STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN ASSETS AND LIABILITIES AGENCY FUNDS

For the Year Ended June 30, 2015

Oakmant High	Balance July 1, <u>2014</u>	,	Additions	<u>D</u>	eductions	Balance June 30, 2015
Oakmont High						
Assets: Cash in County Treasury Cash on hand and in banks	\$ - 218,9	\$ 983	- 473,370	\$	- 548,181	\$ - 144,172
Total assets	\$ 218,9	983 \$	473,370	\$	548,181	\$ 144,172
Liabilities: Due to other agencies Due to student groups	\$ - 218,9	\$ 983	- 473,370	\$	- 548,181	\$ - 144,172
Total liabilities	\$ 218,9	983 \$	473,370	\$	548,181	\$ 144,172
Granite Bay High						
Assets: Cash in County Treasury Cash on hand and in banks	\$ - 483,	\$ 133	- 1,129,763	\$	- 1,179,481	\$ - 433,41 <u>5</u>
Total assets	\$ 483,	133 \$	1,129,763	\$	1,179,481	\$ 433,415
Liabilities: Due to other agencies Due to student groups	\$ - 483,	\$ 133	- 1,129,763	\$	- 1,179,481	\$ - 433,415
Total liabilities	\$ 483,	133 \$	1,129,763	\$	1,179,481	\$ 433,415
Roseville High						
Assets: Cash in County Treasury Cash on hand and in banks	\$ - <u>335,</u> (\$ 087	- 446,846	\$	- 467,837	\$ - 314,096
Total assets	\$ 335,0	087 \$	446,846	\$	467,837	\$ 314,096
Liabilities: Due to other agencies Due to student groups	\$ - 335,(\$ 087	- 446,846	\$	- 467,837	\$ - 314,096
Total liabilities	\$ 335,0	087 \$	446,846	\$	467,837	\$ 314,096

ROSEVILLE JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT COMBINING STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN ASSETS AND LIABILITIES AGENCY FUNDS

For the Year Ended June 30, 2015

		Balance July 1, 2014	<u>Additions</u>		<u>Deductions</u>		Balance June 30, 2015
Total Student Body Account							
Assets: Cash in County Treasury Cash on hand and in banks Total assets	\$	- 1,418,216 1,418,216	\$ - 3,216,100 3,216,100	\$ - \$	- 3,408,856 3,408,856	\$	- 1,225,460 1,225,460
Liabilities: Due to other agencies Due to student groups	_	- 1,418,216	- 3,216,100		- 3,408,856	_	- 1,225,460
Total liabilities	\$	1,418,216	\$ 3,216,100	\$	3,408,856	\$	1,225,460

ROSEVILLE JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT ORGANIZATION June 30, 2015

Roseville Joint Union High School District was established in 1912 and is comprised of an area of approximately 108 square miles in Placer and Sacramento Counties. There were no changes in the boundaries of the District during the current year. The District is a political subdivision of the State of California. The District is currently operating five high schools, one continuation education school, one adult education school, and an independent study program.

GOVERNING BOARD

<u>Name</u>	<u>Office</u>	Term Expires
Linda M. Park	President	November 2018
Paige Stauss	Vice President	November 2016
Scott E. Huber	Clerk	November 2018
Rene Aguilera	Member	November 2016
R. Jan Pinnev	Member	November 2016

ADMINISTRATION

Ron Severson Superintendent

Gary Stevens Assistant Superintendent, Business Services

Steve Williams
Assistant Superintendent, Personnel Services

John Montgomery Assistant Superintendent, Curriculum and Instruction

ROSEVILLE JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT SCHEDULE OF AVERAGE DAILY ATTENDANCE For the Year Ended June 30, 2015

	Second Period <u>Report</u>	Revised Second Period <u>Report</u>	Annual <u>Report</u>
Secondary: Regular Classes Special Education	9,762 1 <u>6</u>	9,762 16	9,717 1 <u>5</u>
ADA Totals	9,778	9,778	9,732

ROSEVILLE JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT SCHEDULE OF INSTRUCTIONAL TIME For the Year Ended June 30, 2015

Grade Level	1986-87 Minutes Require- <u>ment</u>	Reduced 1986-87 Minutes Require- <u>ment</u>	2014-15 Actual <u>Minutes</u>	Number of Days Traditional <u>Calendar</u>	<u>Status</u>
Grade 9	64,800	63,000	65,031	180	In Compliance
Grade 10	64,800	63,000	65,031	180	In Compliance
Grade 11	64,800	63,000	65,031	180	In Compliance
Grade 12	64,800	63,000	65,006	180	In Compliance

ROSEVILLE JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURE OF FEDERAL AWARDS For the Year Ended June 30, 2015

	Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program or Cluster Title of Education - Passed through California Department	Pass- Through Entity Identifying <u>Number</u>	Federal Expend- <u>itures</u>
of Education			
84.002A 84.002 84.002A	Adult Education Programs: Adult Basic Education: English Literacy & Civics Education - Local Grant Adult Secondary Education (Section 231) Adult Education: Adult Basic Education & ESL (Section 231)	14109 13978 14508	\$ 83,443 166,487 87,716
	Subtotal Adult Education Programs		337,646
84.027 84.027A	Special Education Programs: IDEA Basic Local Assistance Entitlement, Part B, Section 611 IDEA Mental Health Services, Part B, Sec 611	13379 14468	1,250,128 85,070
	Subtotal Special Education Programs		1,335,198
84.365 84.365	Title III Programs: NCLB, Title III, Immigrant Education Program NCLB, Title III: Limited English Proficiency (LEP)	14346	15,980
	Student Program	10084	47,292
	Subtotal Title III Programs		63,272
84.367 84.010	NCLB, Title II, Part A. Improving Teacher Quality Local Grants	14341	131,292
	NCLB, Title I, Part A, Basic Grant, Low Income and Neglected	14329	911,212
84.048	Carl D. Perkins Career and Technical Education: Secondary, Section 131 (Vocational Education)	14894	168,017
84.196	NCLB, Title X: McKinney-Vento Homeless Children Assistance Grant	14332	98,749
84.330B	Advance Placement Program	14831	21,965
	Total U.S. Department of Education		3,067,351
U.S. Department	of Health and Human Services - Passed through California of Education		
93.778	Medi-Cal Admin Activities (MAA)	10060	20,196
U.S. Department Department of	of Agriculture - Passed through California of Education		
10.553	Child Nutrition: School Programs	13390	1,323,837
	Total Federal Programs		\$ 4,411,384

ROSEVILLE JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT RECONCILIATION OF UNAUDITED ACTUAL FINANCIAL REPORT WITH AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Year Ended June 30, 2015

There were no audit adjustments proposed to any funds of the District.				

ROSEVILLE JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT SCHEDULE OF FINANCIAL TRENDS AND ANALYSIS For the Year Ended June 30, 2015 (UNAUDITED)

General Fund	(Budgeted) 2016	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>
Revenues and other financing sources	\$ 101,828,537	\$ 91,300,174	<u>\$ 81,323,583</u>	<u>\$ 75,454,958</u>
Expenditures Other uses and transfers out	95,994,337 <u>845,000</u>	91,183,791 1,065,600	81,264,234 525,600	75,320,437 <u>744,980</u>
Total outgo	96,839,337	92,249,391	81,789,834	76,065,417
Change in fund balance	\$ 4,989,200	\$ (949,217)	<u>\$ (466,251)</u>	<u>\$ (610,459</u>)
Ending fund balance	\$ 22,131,562	<u>\$ 17,142,362</u>	\$ 18,091,579	<u>\$ 18,557,830</u>
Available reserves	\$ 12,296,757	<u>\$ 12,296,756</u>	\$ 12,830,820	<u>\$ 14,676,500</u>
Designated for economic uncertainties	\$ 9,941,844	<u>\$ 9,941,844</u>	<u>\$ 9,831,433</u>	<u>\$ 4,074,049</u>
Undesignated fund balance	\$ 2,354,913	\$ 2,354,912	\$ 2,999,387	\$ 10,602,451
Available reserves as a percentage of total outgo	12.70%	12.69%	15.57%	19.33%
Total long-term liabilities	<u>\$ 174,549,994</u>	\$ 188,237,533	\$ 126,909,567	<u>\$ 132,842,808</u>
Average daily attendance at P-2, excluding classes for adults	9,780	9,778	9,804	9,754

The General Fund fund balance has decreased by \$2,025,927 over the past three years. The District projects an increase of \$4,989,200 for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2016. For a district this size, the State of California recommends available reserves of at least three percent of total General Fund expenditures, transfers out and other uses. The District maintains reserves in excess of recommended levels.

The District has incurred operating deficits in each of the past three years, and anticipates incurring an operating surplus during the 2015-2016 fiscal year.

Total long-term liabilities have increased by \$55,394,725 over the past two years.

Average daily attendance has increased by 24 over the past two years and is anticipated to increase by 2 from June 30, 2015 to the year ending June 30, 2016.

ROSEVILLE JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT SCHEDULE OF CHARTER SCHOOLS For the Year Ended June 30, 2015

Included in District
Financial Statements, or
Separate Report

Charter Schools Chartered by District

There are no charter schools operating in the District.

N/A

ROSEVILLE JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION June 30, 2015

NOTE 1 - PURPOSE OF SCHEDULES

A - Schedule of Average Daily Attendance

Average daily attendance is a measurement of the number of pupils attending classes of the District. The purpose of attendance accounting from a fiscal standpoint is to provide the basis on which apportionments of state funds are made to school districts. This schedule provides information regarding the attendance of students at various grade levels and in different programs.

B - Schedule of Instructional Time

The District has received incentive funding for increasing instructional time as provided by the Incentives for Longer Instructional Day. This schedule presents information on the amount of instructional time offered by the District and whether the District complied with the provisions of Education Code Sections 46201 through 46206.

C - Schedule of Expenditure of Federal Awards

OMB Circular A-133 requires a disclosure of the financial activities of all federally funded programs. This schedule was prepared to comply with A-133 requirements, and is presented on the modified accrual basis of accounting.

The following schedule provides a reconciliation between revenues reported on the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Change in Fund Balances and the related expenditures reported on the Schedule of Expenditure of Federal Awards. The reconciling amounts represent Federal funds that have been recorded as revenues that have not been expended by June 30, 2015.

Description	<u>Number</u>	CFDA <u>Amount</u>
Total Federal revenues, Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Change in Fund Balances		\$ 4,411,844
Less: Medi-Cal Billing Option revenues in excess of expenditures	93.778	(460)
Total Schedule of Expenditure of Federal Awards		\$ 4,411,384

D - Reconciliation of Unaudited Actual Financial Report with Audited Financial Statements

This schedule provides the information necessary to reconcile the Unaudited Actual Financial Report to the audited financial statements.

ROSEVILLE JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION June 30, 2015

NOTE 1 - PURPOSE OF SCHEDULES (Continued)

E - Schedule of Financial Trends and Analysis - Unaudited

This schedule provides trend information on fund balances, revenues, expenditures and average daily attendance, as required by the State Controller's Office. The information in this section has been derived from audited information.

F - Schedule of Charter Schools

This schedule provides information for the California Department of Education to monitor financial reporting by Charter Schools.

NOTE 2 - EARLY RETIREMENT INCENTIVE PROGRAM

Education Code Section 14502 requires certain disclosures in the financial statements of districts which adopt Early Retirement Incentive Programs pursuant to Education Code Sections 22714 and 44929. For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015, the District did not adopt such a program.



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH STATE LAWS AND REGULATIONS

Board of Education Roseville Joint Union High School District Roseville, California

Report on Compliance with State Laws and Regulations

We have audited Roseville Joint Union High School District's compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the State of California's 2014-15 Guide for Annual Audits of K-12 Local Education Agencies and State Compliance Reporting to the state laws and regulations listed below for the year ended June 30, 2015.

<u>Description</u>	Procedures <u>Performed</u>
Attendance	Yes
Teacher Certification and Misassignments	Yes
Kindergarten Continuance	No, see below
Independent Study	Yes
Continuation Education	Yes
Instructional Time	Yes
Instructional Materials	Yes
Ratio of Administrative Employees to Teachers	Yes
Classroom Teacher Salaries	Yes
Early Retirement Incentive	No, see below
Gann Limit Calculation	Yes
School Accountability Report Card	Yes
Juvenile Court Schools	No, see below
Middle or Early College High Schools	No, see below
K-3 Grade Span Adjustment	No, see below
Transportation Maintenance of Effort	Yes
Regional Occupational Centers or Programs Maintenance of Effort	No, see below
Adult Education Maintenance of Effort	Yes
California Clean Energy Jobs Act	Yes
After School Education and Safety Program:	
General requirements	No, see below
After school	No, see below
Before school	No, see below
Proper Expenditure of Education Protection Account Funds	Yes
Common Core Implementation Funds	Yes
Unduplicated Local Control Funding Formula Pupil Counts	Yes
Local Control and Accountability Plan	Yes
Attendance, for charter schools	No, see below
Mode of Instruction, for charter schools	No, see below
Nonclassroom-Based Instruction/Independent Study,	Na saabala
for charter schools	No, see below
Determination of Funding for Nonclassroom-Based	Maria de la lacción
Instruction, for charter schools	No, see below
Annual Instructional Minutes - Classroom-Based, for charter schools	No see below
	No, see below
Charter School Facility Grant Program	No, see below

We did not perform any procedures related to Kindergarten Continuance because the District is a high school district and does not have any grades K-8.

We did not perform any procedures related to Early Retirement Incentive Program because the District did not offer this program.

The District does not operate a Juvenile Court Schools Program; therefore, we did not perform any testing of these programs.

We did not perform any procedures related to Middle or Early College High School because the District does not have any Middle or Early College High Schools.

We did not perform any procedures related to K-3 Grade Span Adjustment because the District is a high school district and does not have any grades K-3.

We did not perform any procedures related to the Regional Occupational Centers or Programs Maintenance of Effort because the District did not have any expenditures charged to this program.

We did not perform any procedures related to After School Education and Safety Program because the District did not receive any After School Education and Safety Program funding in the current year.

We did not perform any procedures related to charter schools because the District does not sponsor any charter schools.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of state laws and regulations, as listed above.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance with state laws and regulations as listed above, of Roseville Joint Union High School District. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the State of California's 2014-15 Guide for Annual Audits of K-12 Local Education Agencies and State Compliance Reporting. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the state laws and regulations listed below occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about Roseville Joint Union High School District's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with state laws and regulations. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of Roseville Joint Union High School District's compliance.

Basis for Qualified Opinion on Compliance with State Laws and Regulations

As described in Finding 2015-002 in the accompanying Schedule of Audit Findings and Questioned Costs, Roseville Joint Union High School District did not comply with the requirements regarding Independent Study. Compliance with such requirements is necessary, in our opinion, for Roseville Joint Union High School District to comply with state laws and regulations applicable to Independent Study.

Qualified Opinion on Compliance with State Laws and Regulations

In our opinion, except for the noncompliance described in the Basis for Qualified Opinion paragraph, Roseville Joint Union High School District complied, in all material respects, with the state laws and regulations referred to above for the year ended June 30, 2015. Further, based on our examination, for items not tested, nothing came to our attention to indicate that Roseville Joint Union High School District had not complied with the state laws and regulations.

Other Matter

Roseville Joint Union High School District's response to the finding identified in our audit is included in the accompanying Schedule of Audit Findings and Questioned Costs. Roseville Joint Union High School District's response was not subjected to auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report on compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the State of California's 2014-15 Guide for Annual Audits of K-12 Local Education Agencies and State Compliance Reporting. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Crowe Horwath LLP

Conve Howath UP

Sacramento, California November 20, 2015



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Board of Education Roseville Joint Union High School District Roseville, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Roseville Joint Union High School District as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise Roseville Joint Union High School District's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 20, 2015.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered Roseville Joint Union High School District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Roseville Joint Union High School District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of Roseville Joint Union High School District's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified. We identified a deficiency in internal control that we communicated to management as described in the accompanying Schedule of Audit Findings and Questioned Costs Costs as Finding 2015-001.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Roseville Joint Union High School District's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Response to Finding

Roseville Joint Union High School District's response to the finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of Audit Findings and Questioned Costs. Roseville Joint Union High School District's response was not subject to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Crowe Horwath LLP

Crove Howath UP

Sacramento, California November 20, 2015



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM AND REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE

Board of Education Roseville Joint Union High School District Roseville. California

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited Roseville Joint Union High School District's compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of Roseville Joint Union High School District's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2015. Roseville Joint Union High School District's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to its federal programs.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of Roseville Joint Union High School District's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about Roseville Joint Union High School District's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of Roseville Joint Union High School District's compliance.

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, Roseville Joint Union High School District complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2015.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of Roseville Joint Union High School District is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered Roseville Joint Union High School District's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of Roseville Joint Union High School District's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of OMB Circular A-133. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Crowe Horwath LLP

Copie Hourth as

Sacramento, California November 20, 2015



SECTION I - SUMMARY OF AUDITOR'S RESULTS

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Type of auditor's report issued: Unmodified Internal control over financial reporting: Material weakness(es) identified? ____ Yes <u>X</u> No Significant deficiency(ies) identified not considered ____ Yes to be material weakness(es)? X None reported Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? Yes X No **FEDERAL AWARDS** Internal control over major programs: Material weakness(es) identified? ____ Yes __X__ No Significant deficiency(ies) identified not considered to be material weakness(es)? X None reported Yes Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for Unmodified major programs: Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Circular A-133. ____ Yes Section .510(a)? __X__ No Identification of major programs: CFDA Number(s) Name of Federal Program or Cluster 84.002 and 84.002A **Adult Education Programs** 10.553 Child Nutrition: School Programs Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs: \$ 300,000 Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? __X__ Yes ____ No **STATE AWARDS** Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for state programs: Qualified

(Continued)

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

2015-001 DEFICIENCY - STUDENT BODY ACCOUNTING (30000)

Criteria

Education Code Section 48930 (and California Department of Education's "Accounting Procedures for Student Organizations Handbook") requires student body organizations to follow the regulations set by the Governing Board of the school district.

Condition

At Oakmont High School:

- · No record of receipt books issued to student clubs.
- No evidence of dual counts being performed during cash collection.

At Woodcreek High School:

No evidence of dual counts being performed during cash collection.

Effect

ASB funds could potentially be misappropriated.

Cause

Adequate internal control procedures have not been implemented and enforced.

Fiscal Impact

Not determinable.

Recommendation

- Sub-receipt books should be issued to those collecting ASB moneys and records of sub receipt books should be maintained by ASB advisors.
- Funds should be counted in dual custody and evidenced by initials or a signature.

Corrective Action Plan

The District agrees with the audit findings and recommended actions to be implemented. School Site Administration has the primary responsibility to ensure site staff follows all proper internal control guidelines in order to help prevent fraud or misappropriation of assets of student body accounts. The District Office will provide oversight and guidance to ensure the recommended actions are adhered to and will perform annual internal audits to ensure that proper procedures are followed.

SECTION III - FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS No matters were reported.

SECTION IV - STATE AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

2015-002 STATE COMPLIANCE - INDEPENDENT STUDY (40000)

Criteria

Attendance Accounting and Reporting in California Public Schools, Title 5, CCR, Section 401 and 421 (b) and Education Code Section 44809 - Each LEA must develop and maintain accurate and adequate records to support the attendance reported to the State.

California Education Code Section 51747 - Paragraph 8 (A) - Each written agreement shall be signed, before the commencement of independent study, by the pupil, the pupil's parent, legal guardian, or caregiver, if the pupil is less than 18 years of age, the certificated employee who has been designated as having responsibility for the general supervision of independent study, and all persons who have direct responsibility for providing assistance to the pupil. For purposes of this paragraph "caregiver" means a person who has met the requirements of Part 1.5 (commencing with Section 6550) of the Family Code.

Condition

At Independence High School:

- The number of days reported for 5 students were understated by 35 days. The student files had appropriate assignment records to support the attendance for those days the students were marked absent.
- Attendance was claimed for 2 students prior to the written agreement being signed by all required parties, resulting in an overstatement of 4 days.

Effect

The error resulted in a net understatement of 31 days of attendance, which is equivalent to 0.23 ADA.

Cause

The errors were the result of clerical errors in the accounting for attendance.

Fiscal Impact

Fiscal impact is not calculated because the error is below 0.50 ADA.

Recommendation

The District should ensure all pupil attendance is accurately reflected in the attendance system. Additionally, the District should review independent study agreements and ensure attendance is only claimed after the agreement has been signed by all required parties.

Corrective Action Plan

The District agrees with the audit finding and recommended action to be implemented. School Site Administration has the primary responsibility to ensure the site accurately reports the pupil attendance. The District Office will provide oversight and guidance to ensure the recommended actions are adhered to and will perform annual internal audits to ensure that proper procedures are followed.

STATUS OF PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

ROSEVILLE JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT STATUS OF PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS Year Ended June 30, 2015

Finding/Recommendation

Current Status

District Explanation If Not Implemented

2014-001

Implemented

At Roseville High School, one student was improperly counted as present for one day of apportionment attendance although the District had evidence that the student was absent.

The District should revise and resubmit the Second Period Report of Attendance removing the disallowed attendance. Additionally, the District should ensure all pupil attendance is accurately reflected in the attendance system.