Date: November 13, 2013

To: Mayor Susan Rohan and members of the Roseville City Council

Cc: Ron Miller, City of Roseville Associate Planner

Subject: Proposed West Roseville Specific Plan Amendment

(WRSP -SPA-3) for the Fiddyment Farm area

Dear Mayor Rohan and City Council members,

The WestPark – Fiddyment Farm Neighborhood Association (WFFNA) has submitted numerous correspondence of opposition to the proposed SPA-3 plan, both the original proposal of over 1,900 units, and the more recent proposal of 1,661 units. Once again, we ask your consideration to not approve SPA-3 as currently proposed.

The notification dated March 7, 2013, from Ron Miller, City of Roseville Associate Planner, discusses reactivating SPA-3 with a proposed lower figure. The notification contains numerous discrepancies and omissions in the figures represented for SPA-3. It fails to take into consideration prior amendment requests for the WestPark area of the WRSP, and the quantities noted on numerous figures do not total up correctly. More accurate figures for both areas are reflected on the two documents attached—Table 1: WestPark – Cumulative Totals and Table 2: Fiddyment Farm – Cumulative Totals. Both of these documents indicate cumulative figures supplied by the developers for pending amendments and, with minor changes made during previous construction, should be fairly representative of the state of the WRSP to date.

As the figures in attached Table A represents, including the current pending amendment to the Phase 4 area (which WFFNA does not object to), the projected numbers of units for the WestPark area would increase from the original 4,260 units to approximately 4,610 units. However, as the attached Table B represents, the Fiddyment Farm totals, including the current proposed SPA-3, would jump significantly from the original 4,170 units to 5,868 units.

The West Roseville Specific Plan, adopted in 2004, Sec. 4.3 of the Land Use Plan specifies:

"The West Roseville Specific Plan has assigned residential densities based on a plan level assessment of the constraints and opportunities of each large-lot Specific Plan parcel and anticipated long-term demand for various housing types. ...It is anticipated that this process may result in the desire or need to adjust (reduce or increase) the number of units assigned to some large-lot residential parcels.

"It is the intent of the WRSP to permit flexibility in adjusting the number of residential units allocated to any residential large lot parcel in response to market demand, subdivision and/or design review considerations, including but not limited to transfers which do not result in additional impacts to oak trees or other natural resources. To further this intent, units may be transferred between large lot residential parcels provided:

"b. The cumulative increase or decrease in units resulting from the minor density adjustment does not change by more than twenty-percent (20%) the number of pretransfer units allocated to either the transfer or receiving parcel as established at the time of original approval of the Specific Plan."

It appears the obvious intent of the West Roseville Specific Plan is to maintain the development within a reasonable limit of the original approved allotments—20% as named. As the following figures indicate, SPA-3 is far beyond the intent of the West Roseville Specific Plan.

| Area | WRSP Original | With Pending | Cumulative | % Increase- |
|----------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|
| | Units | SPAS | Increase | Area |
| WestPark | 4,260 | 4,610 | 350 units | 8% |
| Fiddyment Farm | 4,170 | 5,868 | 1,698 units | 40.7% |

| Total WRSP Original | 20% Cumulative Limit | Cumulative Increase of | Total % Proposed |
|---------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| Approved | of original WRSP | Proposed SPAs | Increase to WRSP |
| 8,430 Units | 1,686 Units | 2,048 Units | 24.3% |

As stated in the WRSP introduction, "The West Roseville Specific Plan is the primary land use, policy and regulatory document used to guide development of the project area. ...All subsequent development projects and related activities in the WRSP area are required to be consistent with this Specific Plan." Residents of the WestPark and Fiddyment Farm developments purchased their homes under the guidelines of the WRSP and signed agreements for the Mello Roos assessments attached thereto. Those assessments are supposedly apportioned with the figures consistent with the original WRSP. Even though there have been minor increases to the amount of units so far, there have been no proposed equivalent adjustments to reduce the matching assessments proportionally yet.

The homeowners of the WRSP area ask that the City Council reject the proposed Specific Plan Amendment pending for the Fiddyment Farm area (SPA-3) as currently proposed. We would ask that any further amendment be limited within the original scope of the WRSP and held within the 20% cumulative total originally authorized in the Plan. Although the Plan does not specify that the 20% be maintained by any subdivision, it does specify, "The intent is to promote the systematic and orderly development of the Plan Area." There is a vast discrepancy between the **40.7%** (1,661 units) increase SPA-3 proposes and what 20% of the total Fiddyment Farm increase would be (834 units), some of which have already been used in prior amendments. Such a huge density increase is not consistent with maintaining a consistent balance within the Fiddyment Farm area.

We have no opposition to the minor changes proposed SPA for Phase 4 of the WestPark area, and would include those figures in the overall cumulative limits of the WRSP. Thus, we would ask that any further amendment requests for the WRSP be limited as follows:

| Total WRSP | 20% Cumulative | Current Projected | Current | Cumulative balance |
|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Original Approved | Limit of WRSP | WestPark totals | Fiddyment Farm | not to exceed |
| 8,430 Units | 10,116 Units | 4,610 Units | 4,207 | 1,299 Units |

SPA-3 was first submitted back in 2010 requesting the addition of 1,905 units, and was resubmitted in 2012 with a reduction to 1,661 units. This figure is still too high. If even the full cumulative balance of 1,299 units were approved for SPA-3, that increase would represent a 31% increase to the Fiddyment Farm area, and more particularly that would squeeze the density into the last remaining phases, far exceeding the original density of that region. It also incorporates potential allotments from the WestPark area not already used and squeezes them into the Fiddyment Farm area.

The proposed cumulative balance allowed for Fiddyment Farm would keep the SPA-3 area closer to 834 units represented by a 20% increase as approved in the WRSP. However, we are asking only that the SPA-3 area be kept to the density limits and number of units of the original WRSP plan for the area (1,460 units) plus 20% (292 units)—that being a total of **1,752** units, not the proposed SPA-3 total of 3,121 (1,661 additional units).

As for the addition of two more HDR facilities into the Fiddyment Farm area, we would point out that the WRSP already has a large quantity of HDR parcels in the current plan: 3 parcels now planned in WestPark; and 7 parcels in the current Fiddyment Farm area plan for a total of 10 HDR parcels (1,983 units). We can understand that the City of Roseville must meet certain governmental requirements to meet multi-dwelling and affordable housing units. However, those regulations do not dictate that all the requirements be met in the West Roseville area; that is a preference the City of Roseville has taken to simplify its planning processes. Other developments already approved in the West Roseville area are proposed at sufficient densities to accommodate any governmental requirements:

| Project (initial | Total Acres | LDR Units | MDR Units | HDR Units | Total Units |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|------------------|
| proposals) | | | | | |
| Sierra Vista | 2064 | 2,531 | 2,214 | 1,650 (8 parcels) | 6,650 |
| (approved) | | | | | |
| Westbrook | 397.4 | 705 | 635 | 689 (4 parcels) | 2,029 |
| (approved) | | | | | |
| Creekview | 501.3 | 836 | 655 | 520 (3 parcels) | 2,011 |
| (approved) | | | | | |
| Amoruso Ranch | | 1,132 | 779 | 760 (3 parcels) | 2,671 |
| (pending) | | | | | |
| Placer Ranch | 2,200 | | | | 5,000 + campus |
| (not annexed yet) | | | | | for 25,000 full- |
| | | | | | time students |

Members of the WFFNA have met several times with representatives of the proposed SPA-3 amendment. While we approve of some of the suggested infrastructure changes of the general layout, we continue to express our opposition to the extreme increased density as currently proposed.

The residents of the WestPark and Fiddyment Farm neighborhoods respectfully submit this request for the City Council and Planning Department staff to consider the original intent of the WRSP which established the expectations under which we purchased our homes and signed our assessment agreements. To approve SPA-3 as submitted would represent a gross breach of faith with our residents.

Respectfully submitted,
WestPark – Fiddyment Farm Neighborhood Association (WFFNA) Board Members:

Loren Cook, President Robert Gorman, Vice President Sue Hallahan-Cook, Secretary Joe Van Zant, Treasurer Jason Jasmine, Member at Large Lita Freeman, Member at Large

| | | TABLE 1: | WESTPA | RK - CL | JMULATIVE | TOTALS | | | |
|------------|-----------|------------|---------------|---------|------------------|-----------|-----------|--------------|-----------|
| Parcel | Land Use | 2006 Units | 2009 Changes | # Units | As of 10/2011 | # Units | 2012 | 2013 Changes | # Units |
| W-1-Club | LDR | 404 | | | | | | | 404 |
| W-2-Club | LDR | 300 | | | | | | | 300 |
| W-3 | LDR | 198 | | | | | | | 198 |
| W-4 | LDR | 147 | | | | | | | 147 |
| W-5 | LDR | 88 | | | | | | | 88 |
| W-6 | LDR | 77 | | | | | | | 77 |
| W-7 | LDR | 111 | | | | | | | 111 |
| W-8 | LDR | 168 | | | | | | | 168 |
| W-9 | LDR | 95 | see 17C & 18C | | | | | | N/A |
| W-10 | LDR | 245 | | | | | | | 245 |
| W-11 | LDR | 130 | | | | | | | 130 |
| W-12 | LDR | 78 | | | | | | | 78 |
| W-13 | LDR | 60 | W-13C | | W-13 | 309 | | | 309 |
| | | | W-13B | | see W-13 | | | | N/A |
| W-14 | LDR | 115 | W-13A | | see W-13 | | | | N/A |
| W-15 | LDR | 80 | W-15A | | W-15 | 224 | | | 224 |
| | | | W-15B | | see W-15 | | | | N/A |
| W-16 | MDR | 160 | W-16 HDR | 208 | | 250 @20.5 | 5 | | 250 |
| W-17 | LDR | 210 | W-17A | | | 132 | | W-17A | 66 |
| | | | W-17 B | | | 130 | | W-17B | 56 |
| | | | W-17C | | | 113 | | W-17C | 69 |
| | | | | | | | | W-17D | 53 |
| | | | | | | | | W-17E | 41 |
| W-18 | LDR | 268 | W-18A | | | 141 | | W-18A | 86 |
| | | | W-18B | | | 152 | | W-18B | 57 |
| | | | W-18C | | | 112 | | W-18C | 102 |
| | | | | | | | | W-18D | 92 |
| | | | | | | | | W-18E | 52 |
| | | | | | | | | W-18F | 19 |
| W-19 | MDR | 165 | W-19 HDR | | | 252 @ 17. | 7 | W-19A LDR | 109 |
| | | | | | | | | W-19B LDR | 85 |
| W-20 | LDR | 0 | | | | | | | 0 |
| W-21? | was in W- | -18B | | | | | | W-21? HDR | 170 @ 21. |
| W-28 | HDR | 175 @ 19.4 | see W-18 | | | | | | N/A |
| W-29 | HDR-SR | 150 @ 18.8 | see 15B? | | | | | | N/A |
| Village Co | enter | | | | Redrawn | | | | |
| W-21 | MDR | 138 | | | | | | | 138 |
| W-22 | MDR | 138 | | | | | subdivide | | 138 |
| W-24 | MDR | 115 | | | | 111 | | | 111 |
| W-25 | HDR | 240 @ 19.4 | | 232 | | 232 | subdivide | | 232 |
| W-26 | HDR | 165 @ 16.5 | | | | | | | 165 |
| W-32 | CC-VC | 20 | | | | | | | 20 |
| W-33 | CC-VC | 20 | | | | | | | 20 |
| | | | | | | VC= 824 | | Add 107 LDR? | |
| Totals | | 4,260 | | | | 4,585 | | | 4,610 |

TABLE 2: FIDDYMENT FARM - CUMULATIVE TOTALS

| Parcel | | 2006 Units | 2010 changes | SPA-3 | Land Use | | |
|------------------------|------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|----------|----------|--|
| Phase 1 | Laria OSE | 2000 Offics | 2010 Changes | 21 ∀ -2 | Land Ose | # Offics | |
| F-1A | LDR | 93 | | | | | |
| F-1A F-1B | LDR | 83 | | | | | |
| F-2 | LDR | 127 | | | | | |
| F-3 | | 135 | | | | | |
| F-4 | LDR LDR | 77 | | | | | |
| F- 4 F-5 | | | | | | | |
| Total: | LDR | 157 | | | | 672 | |
| Phase 2 | 9. 2 | 673 | | | | 673 | |
| | | 107 | 140 | F CA | LDD | 155 | |
| F-6 | LDR | 187 | 140 | F-6A | LDR | 155 | |
| | | | | F-6B | HDR | 195 | |
| | | | | F-6C | MDR | 300 | |
| | 1.55 | 444 | 07 | F-6D | CC | 445 | |
| F-7 | LDR | 111 | 87 | | LIDE | 115 | |
| F-8 | LDR | 91 | /4 | F-8A | HDR | 277 | |
| | | | | F-8B | MDR | 127 | |
| | | | | F-8C | LDR | 83 | |
| | | | | F-8D | LDR | 35 | |
| F-9 | LDR | 307 | 378 | F-9A | LDR | 108 | |
| | | | | F-9B | LDR | 96 | |
| | | | | F-9C | LDR | 107 | |
| | | | | F-9D | LDR | 35 | |
| F-10 | LDR | 227 | 183 | F-10A | LDR | 122 | |
| | | | | F-10B | LDR | 118 | |
| | | | | F-10C | LDR | 90 | |
| F-11 | LDR | 99 | 84 | F-11A | LDR | 172 | |
| | | | | F-11B | MDR | 182 | |
| F-12 | LDR | 167 | 117 | | | 113 | |
| F-13 | LDR | 154 | 121 | F-13A | LDR | 90 | |
| | | | | F-13B | LDR | 159 | |
| F-14 | LDR | 422 | 422 | | | 422 | |
| F-15A | LDR | 79 | 76 | | | 76 | |
| F-15B&C | MDR | 206 | F-15B = 45 | | | 45 | |
| | | | F-15C= 46 | | | 46 | |
| F-16 | MDR | | LDLR= 110 | | | 110 | |
| F-17 | MDR | 131 | 131 | | | 131 | |
| F-19 | LDR | 104 | 104 | F-19A | LDR | 135 | |
| | | | | F-19B | LDR | 135 | |
| F-20 | HDR | 120 @ 17.4 | 156 @ 22.5 | | | 156 | |
| F21 | HDR | 219 @ 15.1 | 289 @ 20.0 | | | 289 | |
| F-22 | HDR | 126 @ 13.0 | 207 @ 20.0 | | | 207 | |
| F-23 | HDR | 160 @ 13.2 | 234 @ 20.0 | | | 234 | |
| F-24 | HDR | 200 @ 15.7 | 253 @ 20.0 | | | 253 | |
| F-25 | HDR | 90 @ 15.5 | 137 @ 25.0 | | | 137 | |
| F-26 | HDR | 90 @ 15.3 | 140 @ 25.0 | | | 140 | |
| Total Unit | ts | 4170 | 4207 | | | 5868 | |